arXiv:math/0510570v1 [math.NT] 26 Oct 2005

AN EXPLICIT ZERO-FREE REGION FOR THE DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS

H. KADIRI

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{L}_q(s)$ be the product of Dirichlet L-functions modulo q. Then $\mathcal{L}_q(s)$ has at most one zero in the region

$$\Re s \geq 1 - \frac{1}{6.3970 \log \max(q, q|\Im s|)}.$$

1. INTRODUCTION

Let q be a positive integer, χ a non-principal primitive character modulo q, and $L(s,\chi)$ the associated Dirichlet L-function. We recall that the Dirichlet series representation $L(s,\chi) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \chi(n) n^{-s}$ defines a function holomorphic for $\Re s > 0$. However, it may be holomorphically continued to the whole complex plane and it never vanishes in $\Re s > 1$. Its zeros in the half plane $\Re s < 0$ are the integers $-\mathfrak{a} - 2n$, $n \geq 0$, where $\mathfrak{a} = (1-\chi(-1))/2$. All remaining zeros are in the the critical strip $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ and are distributed symmetrically with respect to the critical line $\Re s = 1/2$ but not necessarily with respect to the real line $\Im s = 0$. In fact, if ρ is a zero of $L(s,\chi)$, then $\overline{\rho}$ is a zero of $L(s,\overline{\chi})$.

Let $\mathcal{L}_q(s)$ be the product of the $\phi(q)$ Dirichlet L-functions modulo q. In the case $q = 1$, we have $\mathcal{L}_1(s) = \zeta(s)$, the Riemann zeta function. A classical result due to De La Vallée Poussin states that there exists a constant R such that $\zeta(s) \neq 0$ for

$$\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R \log |t|} \qquad (|t| \geq 2)\ . \tag{1.1}$$

Explicit estimates of the constants R have been given by De La Vallée Poussin, Landau, Stechkin, and Rosser and Schoenfeld who last found $R = 9.6459$ in 1975 (see [9]). Recently the author, in [5], gave the value $R = 5.69693$. In 1899, De La Vallée Poussin stated that the same techniques would prove (1.1) in the case $q > 1$, more precisely that $\mathcal{L}_q(s)$ has at most one zero in the region

$$\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R_0 \log q(|t|+2)}\ .$$

There have been several investigations of R_0, the latest given by McCurley in 1984 (see [7]) with $R_0 = 9.645908801$. We prove the following theorems:

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000). 11M26

Theorem 1.1. *The function $\mathcal{L}_q(s)$ has, at most, one single zero in the region:*

$$\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R_0 \log\left(\max(q, q|t|)\right)} \qquad \textit{where } R_0 = 6.3970.$$

Such a zero, if it exists, is real, simple and corresponds to a real non-principal character modulo q. We shall refer to it as an exceptional zero and q as an exceptional modulus.

We shall describe more precisely the case of an exceptional zero with the two following theorems. The first describes explicitly the phenomenon of repulsion that exceptional zeros exhibit.

Theorem 1.2. *If χ is a real primitive character modulo q and if β_1 and β_2 are two real zeros of $L(s,\chi)$, then:*

$$\min(\beta_1, \beta_2) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{R_1 \log q}, \ \textit{where } R_1 = 1.0971.$$

Theorem 1.3. *If χ_1 and χ_2 are two distinct real primitive characters modulo q_1 and q_2 respectively and if β_1 and β_2 are real zeros of $L(s,\chi_1)$ and $L(s,\chi_2)$ respectively, then:*

$$\min(\beta_1, \beta_2) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{R_2 \log(q_1 q_2)}, \ \textit{where } R_2 = 2.0452.$$

When $q_1 = q_2$ is an exceptional modulus, this shows that the exceptional zero repels the other real zeros of the exceptional conductor. More precisely, the region $\sigma \geq 1 - \dfrac{1}{4.0904 \log q}$, $t = 0$ contains at most one zero. Now, assuming $q_1 < q_2$, then the inequality implies that both q_1 and q_2 cannot be exceptional, unless $q_2 \geq q_1^{2.1278}$.
We remark that Heath-Brown, in his research concerning Linnik's constant, established $R_0 = 2.8736$ for q asymptotically large and $|t| \leq 1$. One of the key points of his proof is an improvement of Burgess' bounds for Dirichlet L-functions. This is where the condition on q being asymptotically large is imposed. Here, we shall employ another strategy since we are aiming to obtain a result valid for all $q \geq 1$. We now outline the principal ideas of the proof of the theorems. Let $\varrho_0 = \beta_0 + i\gamma_0$ denote the zero we want to locate. First, in section 2.1, we establish a version of Weil's formula relating the zeros of $L(s,\chi)$ to prime numbers. We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} f(\log n) &= \frac{f(0)}{2} \log\left(q \max(|t|, 1)\right) + \delta_\chi \Re F(s-1) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\varrho \in Z(\chi)} \Re F(s-\varrho) + R(s), \end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

where f is a positive smooth function chosen such that its Laplace transform $F(z) = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-zt} f(t)\, dt$ satisfies:

$$F(z) = \frac{f(0)}{z} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{|z|^2}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \Re F(s) \geq 0 \text{ for } \Re s \geq 0,$$

$\delta_\chi = 1$ if χ is principal and $\delta_\chi = 0$ otherwise, $Z(\chi)$ is the set of non-trivial zeros of $L(s,\chi)$, and $R(s)$ is an error term. Note that for $f = 1$, (1.2) reduces to:

$$-\Re\frac{L'}{L}(s,\chi) = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(q\max(|t|,1)\right) + \delta_\chi\Re\frac{1}{s-1} - \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi)}\Re\frac{1}{s-\varrho} + R(s).$$

In section 2.3 we shall make a specific choice of f in (1.2). In (1.2) the $\log q$-term arises from the size of $(L^{'}/L)(s,\chi)$. One of the key points in reducing the value of R_0 is to reduce the coefficient $1/2$ in (1.2) . For example, the new Burgess bound of Heath-Brown leads to a value of $1/4$. In our argument we will approach a limiting value $(1-\kappa)/2 \simeq 0.29$. The intuitive idea, which stems from the original argument of Hadamard and De La Vallée Poussin, is to compare the size of our L-function at different points $s = \sigma + it$ with $\sigma = 1 + \mathcal{O}\left((\log\left(q\max(|t|,1)\right))^{-1}\right)$ and different heights t. This is rendered possible by using trigonometrical inequalities of the type:

$$a_0 + a_1\cos(t) + a_2\cos(2t) + ... + a_d\cos(dt) \geq 0,$$

where $d \geq 2$ is the degree of the polynomial (see section 2.2 for our choice of polynomial). Combined with the left hand term of (1.2), we obtain:

$$\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\Lambda(n)f(\log n)}{n^\sigma}\Big[a_0 + a_1\cos\left(\gamma_0\arg(\chi(n)n^{-it})\right) + ... + a_d\cos\left(d\gamma_0\arg(\chi(n)n^{-it})\right)\Big] \geq 0.$$

This gives a different right hand term for (1.2):

(a) $t = 0$: s is close to the pole 1 when χ is principal and we obtain for the right term: $\Re F(\sigma - 1) + R_1(s)$,

(b) $t = \gamma_0$: s is close to the zero ϱ_0 of $L(s,\chi)$ and we obtain for the right term: $\frac{f(0)}{2}\log\left(q\max(|t|,1)\right) - \Re F(s-\varrho_0) + R_2(s)$,

(c) $t = k\gamma_0$ with $2 \leq k \leq d$ and we obtain: $\frac{f(0)}{2}\log\left(q\max(|t|,1)\right) + R_3(s)$.

In each case, we use one major argument which concerns the size of the sum over the zeros. We show that, thanks to our choice of F, we can control its size so as it is not bigger than the error term. The details are provided in section 7.

We also add another ingredient to the proof, which is to consider not only the points listed as below but also the ones a little bit further on the left. Instead of

$$\Re\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}f(\log n) ,$$

we study

$$\Re\sum_{n\geq 1}\Lambda(n)\chi(n)f(\log n)\left(\frac{1}{n^s} - \frac{\kappa}{n^{s+\delta}}\right) ,$$

where δ and κ are positive constants that will be chosen so that the new sum over the zeros remains small. In section 7.1 we will detail this argument inspired by a lemma due to Stechkin and used by Rosser and Schoenfeld [9] and then McCurley to improve the De La Vallée Poussin zero-free region. Note that this is where the reduction from 1/2 to $(1-\kappa)/2$ arises. Putting together all these arguments leads to the inequality:

$$0 \le a_0 \Re F(\sigma-1) - a_1 \Re F(\sigma-\beta_0) + \frac{1-\kappa}{2}(a_1+\ldots+a_d) f(0) \log\left(q \max(|\gamma_0|,1)\right)$$

for some suitable values of δ and κ, and hence:

$$(1-\beta_0)\ \log\left(q\max(|\gamma_0|,1)\right) \ge \frac{2}{1-\kappa}\,\frac{a_1\Re F(\sigma-\beta_0) - a_0 \Re F(\sigma-1)}{c_0(a_1+\ldots+a_d)},$$

since $f(0) = (1-\beta_0)c_0$, with c_0 constant. We conclude by optimizing the left term with respect to σ and we obtain $1/R_0$.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **An explicit formula.** Let f be a function that satisfies the following properties : f is a positive function in $\mathcal{C}^2([0,d])$, with compact support in $[0,d]$ and

$$f(d) = f'(0) = f'(d) = f"(d) = 0. \tag{2.1}$$

We denote F its Laplace transform: $F(s) = \int_0^d e^{-st} f(t) d\,t$.

In section 2.3 we will define f explicitly. In [5] we gave a proof of an explicit formula for Dirichlet L-functions following Weil ([13]). This formula relates sums of zeros of a Dirichlet L-function to sums of primes:

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{C}$ be a function that satisfies the following conditions:*

- (A) *ϕ is continuous and continuously differentiable on $\mathbb{R}$ except for a finite number of points a_i where $\phi(x)$ and its derivative $\phi'(x)$ have only discontinuities of the first type. For these points, we define $\phi(a_i) = \frac{1}{2}[\phi(a_i+0) + \phi(a_i-0)]$.*
- (B) *There exists $b > 0$ such that $\phi(x)e^{x/2}$ and $\phi'(x)e^{x/2}$ are $\mathcal{O}(e^{-(1/2+b)|x|})$ for x sufficiently large.*

For all real $a < 1$, satisfying $0 < a < b$, $\phi(x)$ possesses a Laplace transform:

$$\Phi(s) = \int_0^{+\infty} \phi(x) e^{-sx} d\,x$$

which is holomorphic in the strip $-(1+a) < \sigma < a$ and $\mathcal{O}(1/|t|)$ uniformly in the strip $-(1+a) \le \sigma \le a$. Let q be a positive integer and χ a primitive *character of conductor q. Then:*

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{n\geq 1}\Lambda(n)\chi(n)\phi(\log n) &= \delta_q\Big(\Phi(-1)+\Phi(0)\Big)+\frac{1}{2}(1-\delta_q)(1-\mathfrak{a})\Phi(0)\\ &\quad-\sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi)}\Phi(-\varrho)+\phi(0)\log\frac{q}{\pi}+\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\Lambda(n)\overline{\chi}(n)}{n}\phi(-\log n)\\ &\quad+\lim_{T\mapsto+\infty}\frac{1}{2i\pi}\int_{1/2-iT}^{1/2+iT}\Re\psi\Big(\frac{s+\mathfrak{a}}{2}\Big)\Phi(-s)d\,s,\end{aligned}\tag{2.2}$$

where $\delta_q = 1$ if $q = 1$, $\delta_q = 0$ otherwise, $\mathfrak{a} = (1-\chi(-1))/2$ and $\psi = \frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma}$.

We recall the formula that we deduced from it for the Riemann Zeta function (see [5]):

Corollary 2.2. *Let f be a function satisfying (2.1) and $s=\sigma+it$ a complex number. Then:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Re\Bigg(\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s}f(\log n)\Bigg) &= f(0)\Bigg(-\frac{\log\pi}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\Re\psi\Big(\frac{s}{2}+1\Big)\Bigg)+\Re F(s-1)\\ -\sum_{\varrho\in Z(\zeta)}\Re F(s-\varrho) &+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Re\psi\Big(\frac{1}{4}+i\frac{T}{2}\Big)\Re\frac{F_2(s-1/2-iT)}{(s-1/2-iT)^2}d\,T+\Re\frac{F_2(s)}{s^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{2.3}$$

Here, we need to apply our formula also in the case of non principal characters:

Corollary 2.3. *Let χ be a non principal character modulo q, f a function defined as in the introduction, and $s=\sigma+it$ a complex number. We have:*

$$\begin{aligned}\Re\Bigg(\sum_{n\geq 1}\frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s}f(\log n)\Bigg) &= f(0)\Bigg(\frac{\log(q/\pi)}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\Re\psi\Big(\frac{s+\mathfrak{a}}{2}\Big)\Bigg)\\ &\quad-\sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi)}\Re F(s-\varrho)\;+\;\frac{1-\mathfrak{a}}{2}\Re\frac{F_2(s)}{s^2}\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Re\psi\Big(\frac{2\mathfrak{a}+1}{4}+i\frac{T}{2}\Big)\Re\frac{F_2(s-1/2-iT)}{(s-1/2-iT)^2}d\,T.\end{aligned}\tag{2.4}$$

In both corolarries, F_2 is the Laplace transform of f" and $Z(\zeta)$ and $Z(\chi)$ are respectively the set of non trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$ and of $L(s,\chi)$.

Proof. We apply proposition 2.1 with $\phi(y) = (f(0)-f(y))e^{-ys}$ when $y\geq 0$ and $\phi(y)=0$ otherwise. We assume that $\Re s > 1$. Then ϕ is a C^2 function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ which satisfies condition (B) and for which its Laplace transform satisfies:

$$\Phi(-z)=\frac{f(0)}{s-z}-F(s-z)=-\frac{F_2(s-z)}{(s-z)^2}\ ,\ \Phi(0)=-\frac{F_2(s)}{s^2}\ ,$$

where $\Re z < \Re s$ and F_2 is the Laplace transform of $f^{(2)}$. We now apply (2.2) with f and a non-principal primitive character χ :

$$\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} f(\log n) = f(0) \left(\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} + \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi)} \frac{1}{s-\varrho} \right) \tag{2.5}$$

$$- \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi)} F(s-\varrho) + \frac{1}{2i\pi} \int_{1/2-i\infty}^{1/2+i\infty} \Re\psi\Big(\frac{z+\mathfrak{a}}{2}\Big) \frac{F_2(s-z)}{(s-z)^2} d\,z + \frac{1-\mathfrak{a}}{2} \frac{F_2(s)}{s^2}.$$

We take the real part of (2.5) and replace the factor multiplying $f(0)$ by the classical explicit formula for Dirichlet L-functions (see Chapter 14 of [3]) :

$$-\Re\frac{L'}{L}(s,\chi) = \frac{1}{2}\log\frac{q}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}\Re\psi\Big(\frac{s+\mathfrak{a}}{2}\Big) - \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi)} \Re\frac{1}{s-\varrho} \tag{2.6}$$

We also obtain the identity (2.4) for any s in the half plane $\Re s > 1$. In fact, it can be extended to the whole complex plane, as both sides of the equality are harmonic there. $\square$

Let κ and δ be real numbers in $[0,1]$. We introduce

$$S(s) = \Re\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^s} f(\log n) - \kappa\,\Re\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^{s+\delta}} f(\log n),$$

$$S(s,\chi) = \Re\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^s} f(\log n) - \kappa\,\Re\sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)\chi(n)}{n^{s+\delta}} f(\log n).$$

2.2. **A positivity argument.**

2.2.1. *A trigonometric inequality.* We consider the inequality

$$P_1(y) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \cos(ky) = 8(0.91+\cos y)^2(0.265+\cos y)^2 \geq 0, \tag{2.7}$$

where $a_0 = 10.91692658$, $a_1 = 18.63362$, $a_2 = 11.4517$, $a_3 = 4.7$, $a_4 = 1$ and $A = \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k = 35.78532$. We apply (2.7) to compare the values of $S(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi^k)$ with $0 \leq k \leq 4$:

(2.8)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \mathcal{S}(\sigma+ikt,\chi^k) = \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma}\Big(1-\frac{\kappa}{n^\delta}\Big) f(\log n) P_1\left(\arg\left(\chi(n) n^{-i\gamma_0}\right)\right) \geq 0.$$

Let $\chi_{(k)}$ be the primitive character associated with χ^k of conductor q_k.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \mathcal{S}(\sigma+ik\gamma_0,\chi^k) = \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \mathcal{S}(\sigma+ik\gamma_0,\chi_{(k)}) - \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \mathcal{S}(\sigma+ik\gamma_0,\chi_{(k)}-\chi^k).$$

The main terms are given by the first sum, as we will prove later. We begin with a lower bound for the second sum:

2.2.2. *Reduction to the primitive case.*

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\sigma > 0$ and κ and δ such that $\kappa \leq \frac{\sigma}{\sigma+\delta}$. Then:*

$$\frac{1-\kappa}{2} f(0) \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k \log\left(\frac{q}{q_k}\right) + \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \mathcal{S}(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)} - \chi^k) \geq 0. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. The second sum in (2.9) equals:

$$a_0 \sum_{p|q} \log p \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{f(m\log p)}{p^{m\sigma}} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{p^{m\delta}}\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k \sum_{p|q} \log p \sum_{\substack{m\geq 1 \\ p^m \nmid q_k}} \frac{f(m\log p)}{p^{m\sigma}} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{p^{m\delta}}\right) \Re\left(\frac{\chi_{(k)}(p^m)}{p^{imk\gamma_0}}\right).$$

The first sum above is positive and thus we shall find a lower bound for the second sum. We have that $\Re\left(\chi_{(k)}(p^m) p^{-imk\gamma_0}\right) \geq -1$ and, since f is decreasing: $f(m\log p) \leq f(0)$. Moreover: $\sum_{m\geq 1} p^{-m\sigma}\left(1 - \kappa p^{-m\sigma}\right) \leq \frac{1-\kappa}{2}$, since $\frac{1}{p^{\sigma}-1} - \frac{\kappa}{p^{\sigma+\delta}-1}$ is positive and decreases as either p or σ increases when $\kappa \leq \sigma/(\sigma+\delta)$ and $p \geq 3$. Combining these remarks, it follows that the second sum is bounded by

$$\frac{1-\kappa}{2} f(0) \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k \sum_{\substack{p|q \\ p\nmid q_k}} \log p \leq \frac{1-\kappa}{2} f(0) \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k \log\left(\frac{q}{q_k}\right).$$

□

Combining (2.8) and (2.9) we conclude that:

$$\Sigma_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k S(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)}) + \frac{1-\kappa}{2} f(0) \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k \log\left(\frac{q}{q_k}\right) \geq 0. \tag{2.10}$$

We rewrite this in the case when the order of χ is ≤ 4:

- If the order is 4, then $\chi^4 = \chi_{(0)}$, $\chi^3 = \overline{\chi}$ and (2.10) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 = {} & (a_0 S(\sigma) + a_4 S(\sigma + 4i\gamma_0)) + a_1 S(\sigma + i\gamma_0, \chi) \\ & + a_3 S(\sigma + 3i\gamma_0, \overline{\chi}) + a_2 \left(S(\sigma + 2i\gamma_0, \chi_{(2)}) + \frac{1-\kappa}{2} f(0) \log \frac{q}{q_2}\right) \geq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

- If the order is 3, then $\chi^3 = \chi_{(0)}$, $\chi^4 = \chi$, so that (2.10) becomes:

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 = {} & \big(a_0 S(\sigma) + a_3 S(\sigma + 3i\gamma_0)\big) + \big(a_1 S(\sigma + i\gamma_0, \chi) \\ & + a_4 S(\sigma + 4i\gamma_0, \chi)\big) + a_2 \left(S(\sigma + 2i\gamma_0, \chi_{(2)}) + \frac{1-\kappa}{2} f(0) \log \frac{q}{q_2}\right) \geq 0.\end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

- If the order is 2, then $\chi^2 = \chi^4 = \chi_{(0)}$, $\chi^3 = \chi$ and (2.10) is:

$$\Sigma_1 = (a_0 S(\sigma) + a_2 S(\sigma + 2i\gamma_0) + a_4 S(\sigma + 4i\gamma_0)) + (a_1 S(\sigma + i\gamma_0, \chi) + a_3 S(\sigma + 3i\gamma_0, \chi)) \geq 0. \tag{2.13}$$

2.2.3. *Other trigonometric inequalities.* Note that, when the zero ϱ_0 is close to the real axis, though not real, it is also close to $\overline{\varrho_0}$, which is a zero associated to the conjuguate character. It will also be useful to have inequalities involving both $S(\sigma, \chi)$ and $S(\sigma, \overline{\chi})$.

- Let χ be of order 4. We consider the trigonometrical inequality:

$$P_2(y) = 2 + 3\cos y + 2\cos 2y + \cos 3y = 4\cos^2 y(1 + \cos y) \geq 0.$$

Then, since $\chi^2 = \overline{\chi^2}$ and $\chi^3 = \overline{\chi}$,

$$\begin{aligned} &2S(\sigma) + 3S(\sigma, \chi) + 2S(\sigma, \chi^2) + S(\sigma, \overline{\chi}) \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma} \left(1 - \frac{\kappa}{n^\delta}\right) f(\log n) P_2(\arg(\chi(n))) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We apply the same inequality to the primitive character $\overline{\chi}$:

$$2S(\sigma) + 3S(\sigma, \overline{\chi}) + 2S(\sigma, \overline{\chi}^2) + S(\sigma, \chi) \geq 0,$$

and by adding the two inequalities we arrive at:

$$S(\sigma) + S(\sigma, \chi) + S(\sigma, \overline{\chi}) + S(\sigma, \chi^2) \geq 0,$$

and at:

$$\Sigma_2 = \frac{1-\kappa}{2} f(0) \log \frac{q}{q_2} + S(\sigma) + S(\sigma, \chi) + S(\sigma, \overline{\chi}) + S(\sigma, \chi_{(2)}) \geq 0. \tag{2.14}$$

- We assume χ is of order 3 and we consider the inequality:

$$P_3(y) = 5 + 8\cos y + 4\cos 2y + \cos 3y = (1 + \cos y)(1 + 2\cos y)^2 \geq 0$$

for χ and $\overline{\chi}$. Since $\chi^2 = \overline{\chi}$ and $\chi^3 = \chi_{(0)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} 5S(\sigma) + 8S(\sigma, \chi) + 4S(\sigma, \overline{\chi}) + S(\sigma) &\geq 0, \\ \text{and } 5S(\sigma) + 8S(\sigma, \overline{\chi}) + 4S(\sigma, \chi) + S(\sigma) &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We add and deduce:

$$\Sigma_3 = S(\sigma) + S(\sigma, \chi) + S(\sigma, \overline{\chi}) \geq 0. \tag{2.15}$$

- We assume χ is real and we consider the trigonometrical inequality:

$$P_4(y) = 1 + \cos y \geq 0.$$

We deduce from it that

$$\Sigma_4 = S(\sigma) + S(\sigma, \chi) \geq 0. \tag{2.16}$$

- Let χ_1 and χ_2 be real primitive characters. Since the product $(1+\chi_1(n))\,(1+\chi_2(n))$ is positive, then:

  $$0 \leq \sum_{n\geq 1} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma}\Big(1-\frac{\kappa}{n^\delta}\Big)\,(1+\chi_1(n))\,(1+\chi_2(n))\,.$$

  We denote Σ_5 the right term. Then:

  $$\Sigma_5 = S(\sigma)+S(\sigma,\chi_1)+S(\sigma,\chi_2)+S(\sigma,\chi_1\chi_2)\geq 0. \tag{2.17}$$

In the next two sections we choose a specific function f and several other parameters.

2.3. **The test function.**

2.3.1. *Definition.* We call F the Laplace transform of f and $\tilde{F}(x,y)$ the real part of $F(x+iy)$:

$$\tilde{F}(X,Y)=\Re\int_0^{+\infty} f(t)e^{-(X+iY)t}d\,t=\int_0^d f(t)e^{-Xt}\cos(Yt)d\,t.$$

Now we give an explicit definition of f such that it satisfies the conditions in (2.1) and such that its Laplace transform satisfies:

$$\tilde{F}(X,Y)\geq 0 \ \text{ if } \ X\geq 0. \tag{2.18}$$

Heath-Brown suggested (see lemma 7.5 in [4]) that the following function may not be far from optimal under our conditions: $f(t)=\eta h_\theta(\eta t)$, where θ is a parameter in $]\pi/2,\pi[$ that will be chosen later and h_θ is independent of η:

$$\begin{aligned} h_\theta(u) =&(1+\tan^2\theta)\Big[(1+\tan^2\theta)\Big(\frac{-\theta}{\tan\theta}-\frac{u}{2}\Big)\cos(u\tan\theta)-\frac{2\theta}{\tan\theta}\\ &-u-\frac{\sin(2\theta+u\tan\theta)}{\sin(2\theta)}+2\Big(1+\frac{\sin(\theta+u\tan\theta)}{\sin\theta}\Big)\Big],\ \text{ if } t\in[0,d_1(\theta)]\end{aligned}$$

and $h_\theta(u)=0$ otherwise, with $d_1(\theta)=\dfrac{-2\theta}{\tan\theta}$. In particular, we have:

$$h_\theta(0)=(1+\tan^2\theta)(3-\theta\tan\theta-3\theta\cot\theta)\,,\ f(0)=\eta h_\theta(0),$$

$$\tilde{F}(X,Y)=\int_0^{d1} h_\theta(t)e^{-Xt/\eta}\cos(Yt/\eta)d\,t.$$

2.3.2. *Properties of the Laplace transform.* In this section, we recall the approximations for $\tilde{F}$ that we proved in [5]. Under the assumptions (2.1) that we made on f, we have that

$$F(s)=\frac{f(0)}{s}+\frac{F_2(s)}{s^2} \tag{2.19}$$

where F_2 is the Laplace transform of f''. We take the real part of this and note

$$\tilde{F}(x,y)=\frac{x}{x^2+y^2}\,h_\theta(0)\eta+H(x,y)\ \text{where}\ H(x,y)=\Re\left(\frac{F_2(x+iy)}{(x+iy)^2}\right).$$

We recall two bounds on H, established in [5]:

Lemma 2.5. *For any real numbers x and y, we have:*

$$|H(x,y)| \leq \frac{M\left(x/\eta\right)\eta^2}{x^2+y^2}, \textit{ where } M(z) = \int_0^{d_1(\theta)} |h''_\theta(u)|\, e^{-zu} d\,u. \tag{2.20}$$

If $x \geq 0$, then:

$$|H(x,y)| \leq \frac{m_\theta \eta^3}{x(x^2+y^2)}, \textit{ where } m_\theta = \max_{u\in[0,d_1(\theta)]} |h''_\theta(u)| = |h''_\theta(0)|. \tag{2.21}$$

2.4. **Notation.** We now introduce several parameters. Let q be an integer larger than $q_0 \geq 2$ and recall that χ is a non-principal primitive character of conductor q. The aim of this article is to locate the zeros of $L(s,\chi)$. We fix one zero, $\varrho_0 = \beta_0 + i\gamma_0$, and we assume it satisfies $1 - \frac{1}{5\log(q\max(1,|\gamma_0|))} \leq \beta_0 < 1$. Moreover, we can choose $\gamma_0 \geq 0$, since the zeros of $L(s,\chi)$ are symmetric about the real line with those of $L(s,\overline{\chi})$. We denote R a positive real number that satisfies the zero-free region : $\Re s \geq 1 - \frac{1}{R\log(q\max(1,|\Im s|))}$. The result of McCurley in [6] allows us to commence with $R = 9.645908801$. We set $\eta = 1 - \beta_0 = \frac{1}{r\log(q\max(1,\gamma_0))}$, where $5 \leq r \leq R$.
Let s be a complex number $\sigma + it$ with $\sigma > \beta_0$. As we will assign the values $k\gamma_0$ to t (with $k = 0,1,2,3,4$), we assume that $\sigma = 1 - \frac{1}{R\log(q(4\max(1,\gamma_0)+t_0))}$, where $t_0 \geq 1$ is a parameter that shall be chosen later. We have the bounds $0 < \eta \leq \eta_0$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$, where

$$\eta_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r\log q_0}, \\ \frac{1}{r\log(q_0T_0)}, \end{cases} \qquad 1-\sigma_0 = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{R\log(q_0(4+t_0))} & \text{for any } \ \gamma_0 \geq 0, \\ \frac{1}{R\log(q_0(4T_0+t_0))} & \text{if } \gamma_0 \geq T_0 \geq 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.22}$$

Moreover, we put

$$\omega = \frac{1-\sigma}{\eta} = \frac{r\log\left(q\max(1,\gamma_0)\right)}{R\log\left(q(4\max(1,\gamma_0)+t_0)\right)}$$

and it follows that $\omega_0 \leq \omega \leq r/R$, where $\omega_0 = \frac{1-\sigma_0}{\eta_0}$.
We denote κ and δ parameters in $[0,1]$ that depend on θ, r, R and q (however, the numerical values are rather stable) and we assume that:

$$\left(\delta^{-3} + \left(1-\eta_0+\delta\right)^{-3}\right)^{-1} \leq \kappa \leq \left(\delta^{-1} + \left(1-\eta_0+\delta\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}.$$

For $\eta_0 \in [0, 5\cdot 10^{-2}]$, we fix δ in $[(\sqrt{5}-1)/2, 0.863]$ and κ satisfies:

$$\left(\delta^{-3} + \left(1+\delta\right)^{-3}\right)^{-1} \leq \kappa \leq \left(\delta^{-1} + \left(0.95+\delta\right)^{-1}\right)^{-1}.$$

To be numerically more precise, we have:

$$5 \leq r \leq R \leq 9.645908801\,, \tag{2.23}$$

$$0.9833 \leq \sigma_0 \leq 1\ ,\ 0 < \eta \leq \eta_0 \leq 0.0747\,, \tag{2.24}$$

$$0.6220 \leq \delta \leq 0.863 \ \text{ and } \ 0.2236 \leq \kappa \leq 0.4353. \tag{2.25}$$

In all cases, we will first choose $R = 9.645908801$ and r in $[5, R]$, such that our final constant R_0 satisfies:

$$r < R_0 \leq r + 10^{-3}. \tag{2.26}$$

This fixes the values of σ_0, η_0 and then κ and δ. We will repeat our calculation with our new constant for the zero-free region. More precisely, we replace the value of R by R_0 and we repeat this process until the condition (2.26) leads to the same value of R_0 with a precision of 10^{-3}. All values given in this section (unless otherwise specified) are those computed at the first step. To see the intermediate steps, we refer to section 8. To simplify our notation, we set:

$$\begin{aligned}
&D(s) = \Re F(s) - \kappa\, \Re F(s+\delta),\\
&D_1(\sigma+it) = -\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\pi + \frac{1}{2}\Re\psi\Big(\frac{\sigma+2+it}{2}\Big) - \frac{\kappa}{2}\Re\psi\Big(\frac{\sigma+\delta+2+it}{2}\Big),\\
&D_1(\sigma+it,\chi) = \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\frac{q}{\pi} + \frac{1}{2}\Re\psi\Big(\frac{\sigma+\mathfrak{a}+it}{2}\Big) - \frac{\kappa}{2}\ \Re\psi\Big(\frac{\sigma+\mathfrak{a}+\delta+it}{2}\Big),\\
&D_2(\sigma+it) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Re\psi\left(1/4+iT/2\right)\big[H\left(\sigma-1/2, T-t\right)\\
&-\kappa H\left(\sigma+\delta-1/2, T-t\right)\big]dT + H(\sigma,t) - \kappa H(\sigma+\delta,t),\\
&D_2(\sigma+it,\chi) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \Re\psi\left(\mathfrak{a}/2+1/4+iT/2\right)\big[H(\sigma-1/2,T-t)\\
&-\kappa H(\sigma-1/2+\delta,T-t)\big]dT + (1-\mathfrak{a})/2\left[H(\sigma,t) - \kappa H(\sigma+\delta,t)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Hence the explicit formula (2.3) for $L(s,\chi_0)$ is

$$\mathcal{S}(s) = f(0)D_1(s) + D(s-1) - \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\zeta)} D(s-\varrho)\ + D_2(s),$$

and for $L(s,\chi)$, (2.4) becomes:

$$\mathcal{S}(s,\chi) = f(0)D_1(s,\chi) - \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi)} D(s-\varrho)\ + D_2(s,\chi).$$

3. *Case I*: ZEROS OF LARGE IMAGINARY PART ($|\gamma_0| \geq 1$) OR OF CHARACTER χ WITH LARGE ORDER (≥ 4).

All the arguments that we are presenting in this section work for the three following situations:

- *Case I.A*: ϱ_0 is a zero of $\mathcal{L}_q(s)$ satisfy GRH(T_0): $\gamma_0 \geq T_0$,
  The generalized Riemann hypothesis has been numerically verified in a variety of cases. That is to say, if χ is a character associated to q_0, all the non-trivial zeros of imaginary part bounded by T_0 are on

the half line.

	T_0	q
R. Rumely [8] 1996	10^4	$2, ..., 13,$
	$2\,500$	$14, ..., 72,$
		$73, ..., 112$ and not prime,
		$116, 117, 120, 121, 124, 125, 128,$
		$132, 140, 143, 144, 156, 163, 169,$
		$180, 216, 243, 256, 360, 420, 432,$
M. Bennett [1] 2000	10^4	$73, ..., 347$ and prime,

For $2 \leq q \leq 113$ and $T_0 \geq 2500$, GRH is verified for $\gamma_0 \leq T_0$ as given in the above table. Note that in each case we have $qT_0 \geq 2 \cdot 10^4$.

- *Case I.B*: ϱ_0 is a zero of $\mathcal{L}_q(s)$, $q \geq 114$ and $\gamma_0 \geq 1$,
- *Case I.C*: ϱ_0 is a zero of $\mathcal{L}(s, \chi)$ where the primitive character χ of conductor $q \geq 114$ is order at least 4 and $\gamma_0 < 1$.

In each case, just the values of the implied parameters will change. The essential ingredient in the argument is the trigonometric inequality: $\Sigma_1 \geq 0$. We write explicitly this sum:

(3.1)

$$\Sigma_1 = a_0 f(0) D_1(\sigma) + f(0) \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k \left[D_1(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)}) + \frac{1-\kappa}{2} \log\left(\frac{q}{q_k}\right)\right]$$

$$+ a_0 D(\sigma - 1) - \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \sum_{\varrho \in Z(\chi_{(k)})} D(\sigma + ik\gamma_0 - \varrho) + \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k D_2(\sigma, \chi_{(k)}).$$

We will study each of the above terms in section 7, but we can now give an overview of what is happening. The first term indicates the size of the logarithmic derivative of L, since it contains $\Re(\Gamma'/\Gamma)(\sigma + ik\gamma_0) \simeq \frac{1}{2}\log(q_k \max(\gamma_0, 1))$. We deduce from this:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k D_1(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)}) \simeq \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k \frac{1-\kappa}{2} \log(q_k \max(\gamma_0, 1)).$$

The polar contribution of the Riemann zeta function at $s = 1$ arises from $D(\sigma - 1)$. It occurs that $\sigma - 1 + \delta$ is far from the pole and that $\tilde{F}(\sigma - 1, 0)$ is the leading term in $D(\sigma - 1) = \tilde{F}(\sigma - 1, 0) - \kappa \tilde{F}(\sigma - 1 + \delta, 0)$. We isolate from the sum over the zeros the important term containing the zero we are looking for, that is to say $F(\sigma + ik\gamma_0 - \varrho) = \tilde{F}(\sigma - \beta_0, 0)$, when $k = 1$ and $\varrho = \varrho_0$. Estimating the rest of the terms is delicate work explained in section 7.1. The last term D_2 is negligible.

Lemma 3.1. *For the values of the parameters θ, r, t_0, κ_q and δ_q as listed in Table 1, we have the inequality:*

$$\Sigma_1 \leq \frac{A(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2} \log\left(q \max(\gamma_0, 1)\right) \eta + a_0 \tilde{F}(\sigma - 1, 0) - a_1 \tilde{F}(\sigma - \beta_0, 0),$$

Together with the trigonometric inequality (2.10), we deduce:

$$(1-\beta_0)\log(q\gamma_0) = \eta\log\left(q\max(\gamma_0,1)\right) \geq \frac{a_1\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,0) - a_0\tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)}{\frac{A}{2}(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}.$$

We observe that the difference

$$a_1\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,0) - a_0\tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0) = \int_0^{d_1(\theta)} \left(a_1 e^{-t} - a_0\right) h_\theta(t) e^{\omega t} d\,t$$

is increasing with $\omega = (1-\sigma)/\eta$ in $[\omega_0, 1]$. Thus it is larger than its value at ω_0 and

$$(1-\beta_0)\log(q\max(\gamma_0,1)) \geq \frac{2}{A(1-\kappa_q)h_\theta(0)} \int_0^{d_1(\theta)} \left(a_1 e^{-t} - a_0\right) h_\theta(t) e^{\omega_0 t} d\,t.$$

This leads to:

$$R_0 = \frac{A(1-\kappa_q)h_\theta(0)}{2}\left(\int_0^{d_1(\theta)} \left(a_1 e^{-t} - a_0\right) h_\theta(t) e^{\omega_0 t} d\,t\right)^{-1}. \tag{3.2}$$

We finally find our final value for R_0, after repeating the algorithm a few times, until the value of R_0 stabilizes at 10^{-3}. And we obtain:

(3.3)

Cases	*I.A*	*I.B*	*I.C*
R_0	5.8465	6.2443	6.2402

All the numerical details are in section 8.

4. *Case II*: ZEROS OF NOT TOO SMALL IMAGINARY PART ($\alpha\eta \leq |\gamma_0| < 1$) AND OF CHARACTER χ OF ORDER LESS THAN 4.

We consider each possibility for the order of χ: *Case II.A* when the order is 4, *Case II.B* for 3 and *Case II.C* for 2. Since the order is smaller than the degree of the trigonometric polynomial, then there exists some $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that χ^k is principal. Thus $S(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_k) = S(\sigma + ik\gamma_0)$ provides a new contribution which arises from the pole of zeta. In comparison with the *Case I.*, we lose the contribution that was given by $a_k D_1(\sigma + ki\gamma_0, \chi_k)$: $a_k\frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\log(q\gamma_0)\,\eta$. On the other hand, if we assume that γ_0 is bounded away from 0, namely at a distance $\alpha\eta = \mathcal{O}\left(1/\log q\right)$, then we gain a polar contribution from $a_k D(\sigma - 1 + ki\gamma_0)$ that balances our loss.

Lemma 4.1. *For the values of the parameters θ, r, κ_q and δ_q as listed in Table 2, we have the inequality:*

$$\Sigma_1 \leq \frac{A(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\,\eta\log q + a_0\tilde{F}(0,0) - a_1\tilde{F}(1-\beta_0,0).$$

Since $\Sigma_1 \geq 0$ in each case, we get:

$$\eta\log q \geq \frac{a_1\tilde{F}(1-\beta_0,0) - a_0\tilde{F}(0,0)}{\frac{A}{2}(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)},$$

and $R_0 = \dfrac{A(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\left(\displaystyle\int_0^{d_1} h_\theta(t)\left(a_1e^{-t}-a_0\right)d\,t\right)^{-1}$, with

(4.1)

Cases	*II.A*	*II.B*	*II.C*
α	2.6614	4.2743	6.9082
R_0	6.3970	6.2995	6.2880

5. *Case III*: ZEROS OF SMALL IMAGINARY PART ($|\gamma_0| < 2.6593\eta$) AND OF CHARACTER χ OF ORDER LESS THAN 4.

For technical convenience, we choose s on the real axis: $s = \sigma$ with the same σ as defined in (2.22). We now consider the case when γ_0 is closer to the real axis and consequently closer to the pole of ζ. Moreover, ϱ_0 is moving closer to its conjugate. To consider these two zeros, we use the trigonometric inequality for both χ and $\overline{\chi}$. To avoid the problem of poles arising from some possible

$$S(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_k) = S(\sigma + ik\gamma_0), \tag{5.1}$$

we change the trigonometric polynomial such that its degree does not exceed the order of the character, using respectively the sums Σ_2, Σ_3, and Σ_4 for orders equal to 4, 3, and 2. Doing so, the situation (5.1) cannot occur and the problem becomes closely analogous to the former cases. We end up with the sums $S(\sigma)$, $S(\sigma,\chi)$ or $S(\sigma,\overline{\chi})$ and $S(\sigma,\chi_{(2)})$. These terms lead to the following corresponding terms $\tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)$, $(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)/2\ \eta\log q - \tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,\alpha\eta)$ and $\frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\ \eta\log q_2$.

- *Case III.A*: $0 \le |\gamma_0| < 2.6614\eta$ and χ is of order 4.

Lemma 5.1. *For the values of the parameters θ, r, t_0, κ_q and δ_q as listed in Table 3, we have the inequality:*

$$\Sigma_2 \le \frac{3(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\ \eta\log q + \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0) - 2\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,\alpha\eta).$$

By combining this with (2.14): $\Sigma_2 \ge 0$, we get:

$$\eta\log q \ge \frac{2\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,\alpha\eta) - \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)}{3/2(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}$$

then $R_0 = \dfrac{3(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\left(\displaystyle\int_0^{d_1(\theta)} e^{\omega_0 t}h_\theta(t)\left(2e^{-t}\cos(\alpha t)-1\right)d\,t\right)^{-1}$

and $R_0 \ge 6.3931$.

- *Case III.B*: $0 \le |\gamma_0| < 4.2743\eta$ and χ is of order 3.

Lemma 5.2. *For the values of the parameters θ, r, t_0, κ_q and δ_q as listed in Table 3, we have the inequality:*

$$\Sigma_3 \le (1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)\ \eta\log q + \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0) - 2\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,\alpha\eta).$$

Together with (2.15): $\Sigma_3 \geq 0$, we get:

$$R_0 = (1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)\left(\int_0^{d_1(\theta)} e^{\omega_0 t}h_\theta(t)\left(2e^{-t}\cos(\alpha t)-1\right)dt\right)^{-1} \geq 6.2981.$$

- *Case III.C*: $0 < |\gamma_0| < 6.9081\eta$ and χ is of order 2.

Lemma 5.3. *For the values of the parameters θ, r, t_0, κ_q and δ_q as listed in Table 3, we have the inequality:*

$$\Sigma_4 \leq \frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\,\eta\log q + \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0) - 2\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,\alpha\eta).$$

With the trigonometric inequality (2.16): $\Sigma_4 \geq 0$, we get:

$$R_0 = \frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\left(\int_0^{d_1(\theta)} e^{\omega_0 t}h_\theta(t)\left(2e^{-t}\cos(\alpha t)-1\right)dt\right)^{-1} \geq 5.3661.$$

6. *Case IV.*: CASE OF EXCEPTIONAL CHARACTERS.

It is widely expected that Dirichlet L-functions do not vanish on the real axis. Two recent results numerically affirming this are due to Watkins (see [12]) and Chua (see [2]) who respectively show that for all odd characters χ modulo $q \leq 300\,000\,000$ and for all even characters χ modulo $q \leq 200\,000$, the L-functions $L(s,\chi)$ do not vanish on the real axis. We can then choose $q \geq q_0 = 200\,000$. Let β_1 and β_2 be two real zeros such that $1/2 < \beta_2 \leq \beta_1 \leq 1$ and we set $1-\beta_2 = \eta$.

6.1. ***Case IV.A*: two real zeros associated to one real character.**

In this section we present the principal ideas of the proof of theorem 1.2. We use the trigonometric sum $\Sigma_4 = S(\sigma) + S(\sigma,\chi)$ and isolate the two zeros β_1 and β_2 of $L(s,\chi)$ in the sum $S(\sigma,\chi)$:

$$\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_1,0) + \tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_2,0) \geq 2\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_2,0)$$

and we obtain:

Lemma 6.1. *For the values of the parameters θ, r, t_0, κ_q and δ_q as listed in Table 4, we have:*

$$\Sigma_4 \leq \frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\,\eta\log q + \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0) - 2\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_2,0).$$

And since Σ_4 is positive by (2.16), we obtain:

$$\eta\log q \geq \frac{2\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_2,0) - \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)}{1/2(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)},$$

and $R_1 = \dfrac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\left(\displaystyle\int_0^{d_1(\theta)} e^{\omega_0 t}h_\theta(t)\left(2e^{-t}-1\right)dt\right)^{-1} = 1.0971.$

6.2. ***Case IV.B*****: two real zeros associated to two real characters.** In this section, we give the main lemma that induces theorem 1.3. We isolate each zero β_1 and β_2 of $L(s,\chi_1)$ and $L(s,\chi_2)$ in the sums $S(\sigma,\chi_1)$ and $S(\sigma,\chi_2)$ appearing in $\Sigma_5 = S(\sigma)+S(\sigma,\chi_1)+S(\sigma,\chi_2)+S(\sigma,\chi_1\chi_2)$ and we obtain:

Lemma 6.2. *For the values of the parameters θ, r, t_0, κ_q and δ_q as listed in Table 4, we have:*

$$\Sigma_5 \le (1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)\ \eta\log(q_1q_2) + \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0) - 2\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_2,0).$$

Together with the trigonometric inequality (2.17), we obtain:

$$\eta\log(q_1q_2) \ge \frac{2\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_2,0)-\tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)}{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}$$

and $R_2 = (1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)\left(\int_0^{d_1(\theta)} e^{\omega_0 t}h_\theta(t)\left(2e^{-t}-1\right)dt\right)^{-1} = 2.0452.$

7. Proofs.

7.1. **Study of the sum over the zeros.** In this section we fix the values of the parameters κ, δ and t_0. We need to study sums over zeros of the type:

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi')} D(\sigma+ik\gamma_0-\varrho)$$

where χ' is a primitive character associated to q and where $0\le k\le 4$. In particular, we will see in the next two following lemmas that in the case where χ' is the primitive character χ:

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi)} D(\sigma+i\gamma_0-\varrho) \simeq \Re F(\sigma-\beta_0),\ \text{when } k=1$$

$$\text{and}\ \ \mathcal{S} = \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi)} D(\sigma-\varrho) \simeq \Re F(\sigma-\varrho_0),\ \text{when } k=0.$$

Otherwise, we will show that $\mathcal{S}$ is a negligible term.

7.1.1. *Analysis of the principal term.*

Lemma 7.1.

$$D(\sigma-\beta_0)+D(\sigma-1+\beta_0) \ge \tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,0)-\mathfrak{s}_1(\eta),$$

$$\textit{with } \mathfrak{s}_1(\eta) = -\left[1-\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\delta}+\frac{1}{\sigma_0-\eta_0+\delta}\right)\right]h_\theta(0)\eta - \left[1-\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\delta^3}+\frac{1}{(\sigma_0-\eta_0+\delta)^3}\right)\right]m_\theta\eta^3.$$

This was established in section 4.3.1 of [5].

Lemma 7.2. *For any constant* α, $0<\alpha<\dfrac{\pi}{d_1(\theta)}$, *if* $0\le\gamma_0\le\alpha\eta$, *then*

$$D(\sigma-\beta_0-i\gamma_0)+D(\sigma-1+\beta_0-i\gamma_0)\ge\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,\alpha\eta)-\mathfrak{s}_1'(\eta)$$

$$\textit{with}\ \ \mathfrak{s}_1'(\eta)=h_\theta(0)\left(-\frac{\sigma_0-1/2}{1+\alpha^2\eta_0^2}+\frac{\kappa}{\sigma_0-1+\delta}+\frac{\kappa}{\sigma_0-1/2+\delta}\right)\eta \\ -m_\theta\left(\frac{1}{\sigma_0-1/2}+\frac{\kappa}{(\sigma_0-1+\delta)^3}+\frac{\kappa}{(\sigma_0-1/2+\delta)^3}\right)\eta^3.$$

Proof. Since $\alpha d_1(\theta)<\pi$, $\cos(\gamma_0 t/\eta)$ is a decreasing function of γ_0 and $\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,\gamma_0)\ge\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,\alpha\eta)$. We use (2.21) to estimate $\tilde{F}(\sigma-1+\beta_0,\gamma_0)$, $\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0+\delta,\gamma_0)$ and $\tilde{F}(\sigma-1+\beta_0+\delta,\gamma_0)$. ☐

7.1.2. *Study of the remainder term.* We now give a lower bound for $\mathcal{S}=\sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi')}D(\sigma+ik\gamma_0-\varrho)$. First, we rewrite $\mathcal{S}$ by applying the symmetry of the zeros so that both ϱ and $1-\overline{\varrho}$ appear:

$$\mathcal{S}=\sum_{\beta=1/2}D\left(\sigma-1/2+i(k\gamma_0-\gamma)\right) \\ +\sum_{\beta>1/2}\Big[D(\sigma-\beta+i(k\gamma_0-\gamma))+D(\sigma-1+\beta+i(k\gamma_0-\gamma))\Big],$$

where the sums are taken over the zeros $\beta+i\gamma$ of $L(s,\chi')$. We have seen in (2.19) that, when $|\Im s|$ is large enough:

$$\tilde{F}(s)\simeq f(0)\Re\left(1/s\right). \tag{7.1}$$

As a consequence, we expect that, for $|k\gamma_0-\gamma|$ large enough, $D(\sigma-\beta+i(k\gamma_0-\gamma))+D(\sigma-1+\beta+i(k\gamma_0-\gamma))$ will behave like

$$f(0)\Re\Bigg[\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-\beta+i\left(k\gamma_0-\gamma\right)}+\frac{1}{\sigma-1+\beta+i\left(k\gamma_0-\gamma\right)}\right) \\ -\kappa\left(\frac{1}{\sigma+\delta-\beta+i\left(k\gamma_0-\gamma\right)}+\frac{1}{\sigma+\delta-1+\beta+i\left(k\gamma_0-\gamma\right)}\right)\Bigg]. \tag{7.2}$$

This term had been studied by Stechkin in [11]:

Lemma 7.3 (S.B. Stechkin - 1970)**.** *If* $\beta\in[\frac{1}{2},1]$, $y>0$, $\sigma>1$ *and* $\tau=\dfrac{1+\sqrt{1+4\sigma^2}}{2}$, *then*

$$\Re\Bigg[\left(\frac{1}{\sigma-\beta+iy}+\frac{1}{\sigma-1+\beta+iy}\right) \\ -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\left(\frac{1}{(\tau-1+\beta+iy)}+\frac{1}{(\tau-1+\beta+iy)}\right)\Bigg]\ge 0.$$

In particular, (7.2) is positive for $0 \le \kappa \le 1/\sqrt{5}$ and $\delta \ge (\sqrt{5}-1)/2$. In [5] we generalized this to the case where F is the Laplace transform of a smooth function satisfying (7.1) and (2.18) and in particular in the case of the function we chose in section 2.3:

Proposition 7.4.
If $\beta \in [\frac{1}{2}, \sigma]$ and $y > 0$, then

$$D(\sigma - \beta + iy) + D(\sigma - 1 + \beta + iy) \ge 0,$$

as soon as $\delta \ge \delta_q$ and $0 \le \kappa \le \min\left(\kappa_2(\delta), \kappa_2(\delta)\right)\kappa_q$, where $\delta_q = \delta_q(\theta, r)$ is the solution in $[0,1]$ of the equation $\kappa_2(\delta) = \kappa_3(\delta)$, with

$$\kappa_2(\delta) = \frac{h_\theta(0)(2\sigma_0 - 1) - m_\theta \eta_0^2/(2\sigma_0 - 1)}{(2\delta+1)h_\theta(0) + \left(\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{2\sigma_0 - 1 + \delta}\right) m_\theta \eta_0^2},$$

$$\kappa_3(\delta) = \frac{h_\theta(0)(2\sigma_0 - 1) - m_\theta \eta_0^2/(2\sigma_0 - 1)}{\left(\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1+\delta}{(2\sigma_0 - 1 + \delta)^2}\right) h_\theta(0) + m_\theta \eta_0^2 \left(\frac{1}{\delta^3} + \frac{1}{(2\sigma_0 - 1 + \delta)^3}\right)}.$$

We call $\kappa_q = \kappa(\theta, r, R, q)$ the corresponding value of κ_2 at δ_q: $\kappa_q = \kappa_2(\delta_q) = \kappa_3(\delta_q)$.

Remark. The approximation: $\sigma_0 = 1 + \mathcal{O}(\eta_0)$ implies the following approximate values for κ_q and δ_q: $\kappa_2(\delta) = 1/(1+2\delta) + \mathcal{O}(\eta_0)$ and $\delta_q = (\frac{1}{\delta} + \frac{1}{1+\delta})^{-1} + \mathcal{O}(\eta_0)$. Thus δ_q is roughly the solution of the equation $1 + 2\delta = \delta^{-1} + (1+\delta)^{-1}$ and we see that $\delta_q \simeq (\sqrt{5}-1)/2$ and $\kappa_q \simeq 1/\sqrt{5}$ are close to Stechkin's results.
The proposition 7.4 and:

$$1 - \frac{1}{R \log\left(q\left(k\gamma_0 + t_0\right)\right)} \le \sigma < \beta \le 1 - \frac{1}{R \log\left(q \max\left(1, |\gamma|\right)\right)}$$

imply that:

$$\mathcal{S} \ge \sum_{\substack{\varrho \in Z(\chi') \\ |\gamma| \ge k\gamma_0 + t_0}} \Big[D(\sigma - \beta + i(k\gamma_0 - \gamma)) + D(\sigma - 1 + \beta + i(k\gamma_0 - \gamma)) \Big]. \tag{7.3}$$

We first recall a result, proved by McCurley in [7], concerning the density of the non-trivial zeros of the L-functions:

Lemma 7.5 (K.S. McCurley - 1984)**.** *Let $0 < \epsilon \le 1/2$, $T \ge 1$ and χ' be a primitive non-principal character modulo q and $N(T, \chi')$ the number of zeros of $L(s, \chi')$ in the rectangle $0 < \Re s < 1$, $|\Im s| < T$, then:*

$$\left| N(T, \chi') - \frac{T}{\pi} \log\left(\frac{qT}{2\pi e}\right) \right| < C_1 \log(qT) + C_2,$$

$C_1 = \frac{1+2\epsilon}{\pi \log 2}$, $C_2 = 0.3058 - 0.268\epsilon + \frac{4 \log \zeta(1+\epsilon)}{\log 2} - \frac{2 \log \zeta(2+2\epsilon)}{\log 2} + \frac{2}{\pi} \log \zeta\left(3/2 + 2\epsilon\right)$.

Choosing $\epsilon = 1/2$, we obtain a good estimate of N for not to large values of T: $N_2(T, q) \le N(T, \chi') \le N_1(T, q)$, where $N_1(T, q) = \frac{T}{\pi} log \frac{qT}{2\pi e} + c_1 \log(qT) + c_2$ and $N_2(T, q) = \frac{T}{\pi} \log \frac{qT}{2\pi e} - c_1 \log(qT) - c_2$, with $c_1 = 0.91845$, $c_2 = 5.36927$.

Lemma 7.6. *For any primitive non-principal character χ' associated to q, we have:*

$$\Sigma(t,t_0,\chi') = \sum_{\substack{\varrho\in Z(\chi')\\ |\gamma|\geq t+t_0}} \frac{1}{(\gamma-t)^2} \leq w_1(t_0)\log\big(q^2(t+1)\big) + w_2(t_0),$$

where $w_1(t_0) = \left(c_1 + \frac{1}{2\pi}\right)\sum_{n\geq t_0}\frac{1}{n^2}$,
$w_2(t_0) = \left(c_1 + \frac{1}{2\pi}\right)\sum_{n\geq t_0} n^{-2}\log n + (2\,(c_1\log 2 + c_2) - (\log\pi)/\pi)\sum_{n\geq t_0} n^{-2}$.

Proof. Since $|\gamma| \geq t+t_0$, then $(|\gamma|-t)^2 \leq (\gamma-t)^2$ and

(7.4)
$$\Sigma(t,t_0,\chi') \leq \sum_{\substack{\varrho\in Z(\chi')\\ |\gamma|\geq t+t_0}} \frac{1}{(|\gamma|-t)^2} = \sum_{n\geq 0}\sum_{\substack{\varrho\in Z(\chi')\\ 0\leq|\gamma|-(t+t_0+n)\leq 1}} \frac{1}{(|\gamma|-t)^2}$$
$$\leq \sum_{\substack{\varrho\in Z(\chi')\\ 0\leq|\gamma|-(t+t_0+n)\leq 1}} \frac{1}{(|\gamma|-t)^2} \leq \frac{N(t+t_0+n+1,\chi') - N(t+t_0+n,\chi')}{(n+t_0)^2}.$$

We deduce from lemma 7.5 the explicit estimate:

$$\begin{aligned}
&N(t+t_0+n+1,\chi') - N(t+t_0+n,\chi')\\
\leq&\frac{t+t_0+n+1}{\pi}\log\left(\frac{q(t+t_0+n+1)}{2\pi e}\right) + c_1\log(q(t+t_0+n+1)) + c_2\\
&-\frac{t+t_0+n}{\pi}\log\left(\frac{q(t+t_0+n)}{2\pi e}\right) + c_1\log(q(t+t_0+n)) + c_2\\
\leq&\,(c_1+1/(2\pi))\log(q^2(t+1)) + (c_1+1/(2\pi))\log(t_0+n) + 2\,(c_1\log 2 + c_2)\\
&-(\log\pi)/\pi.
\end{aligned}$$

This combines with (7.4) to provide a bound for $\Sigma(t,t_0,\chi')$:

$$\Sigma(t,t_0,q) \leq \left(c_1+\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)\log(q^2(t+1))\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{1}{(t_0+n)^2}$$
$$+\left(c_1+\frac{1}{2\pi}\right)\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{\log(t_0+n)}{(t_0+n)^2} + \left(2c_1\log 2 + 2c_2 - \frac{\log\pi}{2\pi}\right)\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{1}{(t_0+n)^2},$$

which concludes the proof. □

In order to compute $w_1(t_0)$, we use the identity $\sum_{n\geq 0}\frac{1}{(t_0+n)^2} = \zeta(2) - \sum_{n=1}^{t_0-1}\frac{1}{n^2}$ and a similar identity for $w_2(t_0)$.

In the next lemma, we require an estimate for $\eta\sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi')}\frac{1}{(\gamma-k\gamma_0)^2}$, which can be established by Lemma 7.6 and some considerations about its behaviour depending on q or γ_0.

(7.5)
$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{For } k=0:\ \Sigma(0,t_0,\chi')\eta \leq \frac{2w_1(t_0)}{r} + \frac{w_2(t_0)}{r\log(q_0Y_0)},\\
&\text{for } k\geq 1:\ \Sigma(k\gamma_0,t_0,\chi')\eta \leq w_1(t_0)\frac{\log(q_0^2(kY_0+1))}{r\log(q_0Y_0)} + \frac{w_2(t_0)}{r\log(q_0Y_0)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $Y_0 = T_0$ when $\gamma_0 \geq T_0 \geq 1$ and $Y_0 = 1$ when $\gamma_0 \leq 1$. We denote $\mathfrak{s}_0(k, t_0)$ the bound on the right in (7.5). We may now bound the sum $\mathcal{S}$:

Lemma 7.7. *Let χ' be a non primitive character modulo q. If $\kappa \leq \kappa_q$ and $\delta \geq \delta_q$, where κ_q and δ_q are defined in proposition 7.4, then:*

$$\mathcal{S} = \sum_{\varrho \in Z(\chi')} D(\sigma + ik\gamma_0 - \varrho) \geq -\mathfrak{s}_2(k, t_0, \eta),$$

with $\mathfrak{s}_2(k, t_0, \eta) = M(-r/R)\mathfrak{s}_0(k, t_0)\eta + \frac{(1+2\kappa)m_\theta}{\sigma_0 - 1/2}\mathfrak{s}_0(k, t_0)\eta^2$.

Proof. When $\sigma < 1$, we have (7.3):

$$\mathcal{S} \geq \sum_{\substack{\varrho \in Z(\chi') \\ |\gamma| \geq k\gamma_0 + t_0}} \left[D\left(\sigma - \beta + i\left(k\gamma_0 - \gamma\right)\right) + D\left(\sigma - 1 + \beta + i\left(k\gamma_0 - \gamma\right)\right)\right].$$

To find a lower bound for this restricted sum, we use the development of $\tilde{F}$ established in lemma 2.5. This gives a lower bound for D and hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{S} \geq & \eta h_\theta(0) \sum_{\substack{\varrho \in Z(\chi') \\ |\gamma| \geq k\gamma_0 + t_0}} \Re\Big(\frac{1}{\sigma - \beta + i\left(k\gamma_0 - \gamma\right)} + \frac{1}{\sigma - 1 + \beta + i\left(k\gamma_0 - \gamma\right)} \\ & - \frac{\kappa}{\sigma - \beta + \delta + i\left(k\gamma_0 - \gamma\right)} - \frac{\kappa}{\sigma - 1 + \beta + \delta + i\left(k\gamma_0 - \gamma\right)}\Big) \\ & - \sum_{\substack{\varrho \in Z(\chi') \\ |\gamma| \geq k\gamma_0 + t_0}} \Big(|H(\sigma - \beta, k\gamma_0 - \gamma)| + |H(\sigma - 1 + \beta, k\gamma_0 - \gamma)| \\ & + \kappa\, |H(\sigma - \beta + \delta, k\gamma_0 - \gamma)| + \kappa\, |H(\sigma - 1 + \beta + \delta, k\gamma_0 - \gamma)|\Big). \end{aligned} \tag{7.6}$$

Thanks to Stechkin's lemma (lemma (7.3)), the first sum is positive since $\delta \geq \delta_q \geq (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$. Then we use lemma 2.5 to treat the second sum. More precisely, for the first sum, we use (2.20) and the fact that $(\sigma - \beta)/\eta \geq -(1 - \sigma)/\eta \geq -r/R$. Hence $|H(\sigma - \beta, k\gamma_0 - \gamma)| \leq \dfrac{M(-r/R)}{(\gamma - k\gamma_0)^2}\eta^2$, and for the three last terms, (2.21) implies $|H(x, k\gamma_0 - \gamma)| \leq \dfrac{m_\theta}{(\sigma_0 - 1/2)(\gamma - k\gamma_0)^2}\eta^3$, for $x = \sigma - 1 + \beta, \sigma - \beta + \delta$ or $\sigma - 1 + \beta + \delta$. We reinsert these inequalities in (7.6) to obtain:

$$\mathcal{S} \geq -\left[M(-r/R)\,\eta + \frac{(1 + 2\kappa)m_\theta}{\sigma_0 - 1/2}\,\eta^2\right]\eta \sum_{\substack{\varrho \in Z(\chi') \\ |\gamma| \geq k\gamma_0 + t_0}} \frac{1}{(k\gamma_0 - \gamma)^2}$$

and we conclude by applying (7.5). □

7.1.3. *Conclusion.* From this point on, we fix the value of the parameters κ and δ: $\kappa = \kappa_q$ and $\delta = \delta_q$, where κ_q and δ_q are defined in Proposition 7.4. We now have all the elements to estimate the sums $\mathfrak{S}$ over the zeros appearing in Σ_1, Σ_2, Σ_3 and Σ_4.

- *Cases I and II*: We use lemma 7.1 and lemma 7.7 to bound the sum over the zeros $\mathfrak{S}$:

$$\mathfrak{S} := \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi_{(k)})} D(\sigma + ik\gamma_0 - \varrho) = a_1 \left(D(\sigma-\beta_0) + D(\sigma - 1 + \beta_0)\right)$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\chi_{(k)})\setminus\{\varrho_0, 1-\overline{\varrho_0}\}} D(\sigma + ik\gamma_0 - \varrho) \geq a_1 \tilde{F}(\sigma - \beta_0, 0) - \mathfrak{s}(\eta),$$

with $\mathfrak{s}(\eta) = a_1\mathfrak{s}_1(\eta) + \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \mathfrak{s}_2(k, t_0, \eta)$.

- *Cases III*: The zero ϱ_0 is close to the real axis. We choose $s = \sigma$ and use the inequality of section 2.2.3. These involve both χ and $\overline{\chi}$ and their zeros ϱ_0, $1 - \overline{\varrho_0}$, $\overline{\varrho_0}$ and $1 - \varrho_0$. We use the more appropriate lemma 7.2 instead of lemma 7.1 and lemma 7.7 for the remaining zeros.
  Case III.A: We note $Z_1 = Z(\chi) \cup Z(\overline{\chi})$ and $Z_2 = Z(\zeta) \cup Z(\chi_{(2)})$.

$$\mathfrak{S} := \sum_{\varrho\in Z_1\cup Z_2} D(\sigma - \varrho) = D(\sigma - \beta_0 - i\gamma_0) + D(\sigma - 1 + \beta_0 - i\gamma_0)$$

$$+ D(\sigma - \beta_0 + i\gamma_0) + D(\sigma - 1 + \beta_0 + i\gamma_0) + \sum_{\varrho\in Z_1\setminus\{\varrho_0, 1-\overline{\varrho_0}\}} D(\sigma - \varrho)$$

$$+ \sum_{\varrho\in Z_2} D(\sigma - \varrho) \geq 2\tilde{F}(\sigma - \beta_0, \alpha\eta) - \mathfrak{s}(\eta),$$

with $\mathfrak{s}(\eta) = 2\mathfrak{s}_1'(\eta) + 4\mathfrak{s}_2(0, t_0, \eta)$.

Case III.B: We note $Z_1 = Z(\chi) \cup Z(\overline{\chi})$ and $Z_2 = Z(\zeta)$.

$$\mathfrak{S} := \sum_{\varrho\in Z_1\cup Z_2} D(\sigma - \varrho) = D(\sigma - \beta_0 - i\gamma_0) + D(\sigma - 1 + \beta_0 - i\gamma_0)$$

$$+ D(\sigma - \beta_0 + i\gamma_0) + D(\sigma - 1 + \beta_0 + i\gamma_0) + \sum_{\varrho\in Z_1\setminus\{\varrho_0, 1-\overline{\varrho_0}\}} D(\sigma - \varrho)$$

$$+ \sum_{\varrho\in Z_2} D(\sigma - \varrho) \geq 2\tilde{F}(\sigma - \beta_0, \alpha\eta) - \mathfrak{s}(\eta),$$

with $\mathfrak{s}(\eta) = 2\mathfrak{s}_1'(\eta) + 3\mathfrak{s}_2(0, t_0, \eta)$.

Case III.C: χ is real and $\gamma_0 > 0$, then the four distinct points ϱ_0, $1-\overline{\varrho_0}$, $\overline{\varrho_0}$ and $1-\varrho_0$ are all zeros of $L(s,\chi)$.

$$\mathfrak{S} := \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\zeta)\cup Z(\chi)} D(\sigma-\varrho) = D(\sigma-\beta_0-i\gamma_0) + D(\sigma-1+\beta_0-i\gamma_0)$$

$$+ D(\sigma-\beta_0+i\gamma_0) + D(\sigma-1+\beta_0+i\gamma_0) + \sum_{\substack{\varrho\in Z(\chi)\\ \varrho,\overline{\varrho}\notin\{\varrho_0,1-\varrho_0\}}} D(\sigma-\varrho)$$

$$+ \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\zeta)} D(\sigma-\varrho) \geq 2\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,\alpha\eta) - \mathfrak{s}(\eta),$$

with $\mathfrak{s}(\eta) = 2\mathfrak{s}_1'(\eta) + 2\mathfrak{s}_2(0,t_0,\eta)$.

- *Case IV:* Since χ, χ_1, χ_2 and ϱ_0 are real, we use lemma 7.1 to bound the preceding sum $\mathfrak{S}$.
  Case IV.A:

$$\mathfrak{S} := \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\zeta)\cup Z(\chi)} D(\sigma-\varrho) = D(\sigma-\beta_1) + D(\sigma-1+\beta_1) + D(\sigma-\beta_2)$$

$$+ D(\sigma-1+\beta_2) + \sum_{\substack{\varrho\in Z(\chi)\\ \varrho\notin\{\varrho_i,1-\varrho_i,i=1,2\}}} D(\sigma-\varrho) + \sum_{\varrho\in Z(\zeta)} D(\sigma-\varrho)$$

$$\geq \tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_1,0) + \tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_2,0) - \mathfrak{s}(\eta),$$

with $\mathfrak{s}(\eta) = 2\mathfrak{s}_1(\eta) + 2\mathfrak{s}_2(0,t_0,\eta)$.

Case IV.B: We note $Z_1 = Z(\chi_1)\cup Z(\chi_2)$ and $Z_2 = Z(\zeta)\cup Z(\chi_1\chi_2)$.

$$\mathfrak{S} := \sum_{\varrho\in Z_1\cup Z_2} D(\sigma-\varrho) = D(\sigma-\beta_1) + D(\sigma-1+\beta_1) + D(\sigma-\beta_2)$$

$$+ D(\sigma-1+\beta_2) + \sum_{\substack{\varrho\in Z_1\\ \varrho\notin\{\varrho_i,1-\varrho_i,i=1,2\}}} D(\sigma-\varrho) + \sum_{\varrho\in Z_2} D(\sigma-\varrho)$$

$$\geq \tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_1,0) + \tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_2,0) - \mathfrak{s}(\eta),$$

with $\mathfrak{s}(\eta) = 2\mathfrak{s}_1(\eta) + 4\mathfrak{s}_2(0,t_0,\eta)$.

7.2. **Study of $D(\sigma-1+ik\gamma_0)$.** We already studied $D(\sigma-1) = \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0) - \kappa\,\tilde{F}(\sigma-1+\delta,0)$ in section 4.3 of [5] and established:

Lemma 7.8.

$$D(\sigma-1) \leq \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0) + \mathfrak{p}_0(\eta), \quad \textit{with} \ \ \mathfrak{p}_0(\eta) = -\frac{h_\theta(0)\kappa}{\delta}\eta + \frac{m_\theta\kappa}{(\sigma_0-1+\delta)^3}\eta^3.$$

We now study $\tilde{F}(\sigma-1,k\gamma_0)$ when γ_0 is not too small, at least not in comparison to $1-\beta_0$, namely η.

Lemma 7.9. *If* $\alpha\eta < \gamma_0 < 1$ *and* $k \geq 1$, *where* $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{k}\sqrt{\frac{2rM\,(-r/R)}{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)} - \omega_0^2}$, *then:* $D(\sigma-1+ik\gamma_0) \leq \frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\eta\log q$.

Proof. We use lemma 2.5:

$$D(\sigma-1+ik\gamma_0) \leq \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,k\gamma_0) \leq |H(\sigma-1,k\gamma_0)| \leq \frac{M\left(-\omega\right)}{\omega^2+(k\gamma_0/\eta)^2}$$

and the result follows from $\omega_0 \leq \omega \leq r/R$. ☐

7.2.1. *Conclusion.*

(1) *Cases I*: We apply lemma 7.8 and we obtain:

$$\mathfrak{P} := a_0 D(\sigma-1) \leq a_0\tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)+\mathfrak{p}(\eta), \quad \text{with} \quad \mathfrak{p}(\eta) = a_0\mathfrak{p}_0(\eta).$$

(2) *Cases II*: When $\alpha = \frac{1}{k}\sqrt{\frac{2rM(-r/R)}{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}-\omega_0^2}$, lemmas 7.8 and 7.9 imply

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{P} &:= a_0D(\sigma-1)+a_kD(\sigma-1+4i\gamma_0)\\ &\leq a_0\tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)+a_k\frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\,\eta\log q+\mathfrak{p}(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

where $\mathfrak{p}(\eta) = a_0\mathfrak{p}_0(\eta)$ and $k = 4,3,2$ respectively in *Case IIA*, *IIB* and *IIC*.

(3) *Cases III* and *IV* Now, we apply lemma 7.8 in each of the cases:

$$\mathfrak{P} := D(\sigma-1) \leq \tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)+\mathfrak{p}(\eta), \text{ with } \mathfrak{p}(\eta) = \mathfrak{p}_0(\eta).$$

7.3. **Study of D_1 and D_2.**

7.3.1. *Approximating $\Re\psi$.*

We denote $\Delta(x,y) := \Re\psi\Big(\frac{x}{2}+i\frac{y}{2}\Big)-\kappa\,\Re\psi\Big(\frac{x+\delta}{2}+i\frac{y}{2}\Big)$.

Lemma 7.10. *Let $\mathfrak{a}=0$ or 1 and $T\geq 0$. Then:*

$$|\Re\psi\left(\mathfrak{a}/2+1/4+iT/2\right)| \leq U(T) = \log\left(6\left(T+12\right)\right). \tag{7.7}$$

Let $\delta\in[0,1]$, $\kappa\in[0,x/(x+\delta)]$, $y_1>0$, $0<x_0\leq x\leq x_1<y_1$, $l=10^2$. Then:

$$\Delta(x,y) \leq \begin{cases} r_1(x_0,x_1,y_1), \textit{ if } 0<|y|<y_1,\\ (1-\kappa)\log\frac{|y|}{2}+\min\Big((r_2,r_3)(x_0,x_1,y_1)\Big), \textit{ if } |y|\geq y_1.\end{cases} \tag{7.8}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&r_1(x_0,x_1,y_1) = -\gamma\left(1-\kappa\right)-2\left(\frac{x_0}{x_1^2+y_1^2}-\kappa\frac{x_1+\delta}{(x_0+\delta)^2+y_1^2}\right)\\
&+\sum_{n=1}^{l}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{4n+2x_0}{(2n+x_1)^2+y_1^2}-\kappa\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{4n+2x_0+2\delta}{(2n+x_1+\delta)^2+y_1^2}\right)\right)\\
&+\frac{1}{l}+\frac{1}{4l^2}-\kappa\left(\frac{1+\delta}{l}+\frac{17+18\delta}{8l^2}\right),\\
&r_2(x_0,x_1,y_1) = \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\left(\frac{(x_1+\delta)^2}{y_1^2}+1\right)+\frac{1}{y_1}\arctan\frac{y_1}{x_1}+\frac{\kappa}{y_1}\arctan\frac{y_1}{x_1+\delta},\\
&r_3(x_0,x_1,y_1) = \frac{1}{3y_1}\left(\frac{1}{x_0}+\frac{\kappa}{x_0+\delta}\right)+\frac{1}{2y_1^2}\left(x_1^2+\kappa(x_1+\delta)^2\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. In the case where y is bounded, we apply Stirling's formula:

$$\Re\psi\Big(\frac{x}{2}+i\frac{y}{2}\Big) = -\gamma - \frac{2x}{x^2+y^2} + \sum_{n=1}^{+\infty}\Big(\frac{1}{n} - \frac{2(2n+x)}{(2n+x)^2+y^2}\Big) \tag{7.9}$$

Then it is clear that $\Re\psi\Big(\frac{x}{2}+i\frac{y}{2}\Big)$ is increasing with y, and:

- if $|T| \le 3/2$: $\Re\psi\left(\frac{2\mathfrak{a}+1}{4}+i\frac{T}{2}\right) < \Re\psi\left(\frac{2\mathfrak{a}+1}{4}+i\frac{3}{2}i\right) \le 0$, then $\left|\Re\psi\left(\frac{2\mathfrak{a}+1}{4}+i\frac{T}{2}\right)\right| \le -\psi\left(\frac{2\mathfrak{a}+1}{4}+i\frac{T}{2}\right) \le \log\left(6\left(T+12\right)\right)$ and (7.7) is established for $|T| \le 3/2$.
- when $0 < y < y_1$: $\Delta(x,y) \le \Re\psi\left(\frac{x}{2}+i\frac{y_1}{2}\right) - \kappa\,\Re\psi\left(\frac{x+\delta}{2}\right)$.
  We truncate the sum after l terms and bound the error as follows (with $l = 100 > y_1^2$):
  $\sum_{n>l}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{2(2n+x)}{(2n+x)^2+y_1^2}\right) \le \sum_{n>l}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{2}{2n+x+1}\right) \le \frac{x_1+1}{2l}$
  and $\sum_{n>l}\left(\frac{1}{n}-\frac{2}{2n+x+\delta}\right) \ge \frac{x_0+\delta}{3l}$.
  This establishes the bound r_1 in (7.8).

We apply the identity:

$$\Re\psi\left(\frac{x+iy}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{x^2+y^2}{4}\right) - \frac{x}{x^2+y^2} + \Re\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{u-[u]-1/2}{\left(u+\frac{x+iy}{2}\right)^2}d\,u,$$

with the estimate for the integral:
$\left|\Re\int_0^{+\infty}\frac{u-[u]-1/2}{\left(u+\frac{x+iy}{2}\right)^2}d\,u\right| \le \frac{1}{y}\arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta(x,y) \le{}& (1-\kappa)\log\frac{|y|}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\log\left(\frac{x^2}{y^2}+1\right) - \frac{\kappa}{2}\log\left(\frac{(x+\delta)^2}{y^2}+1\right)\\ &-\left(\frac{x}{x^2+y^2} - \kappa\,\frac{x+\delta}{(x+\delta)^2+y^2}\right) + \frac{1}{y}\arctan\left(\frac{y}{x}\right) + \frac{\kappa}{y}\arctan\left(\frac{y}{x+\delta}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\kappa \le \frac{x}{x+\delta}$ implies $\frac{x}{x^2+y^2} - \kappa\,\frac{x+\delta}{(x+\delta)^2+y^2} \ge 0$.

We estimate the other terms, except $(1-\kappa)\log\frac{|y|}{2}$, assuming $y_1 \le y$ and $0 < x_0 \le x \le x_1 < y_1$ and obtain r_2 in (7.8). We complete the proof of (7.7) and (7.8) in the case where the imaginary part is not bounded, by using this inequality:

$$\left|\Re\psi\left(\frac{x+iy}{2}\right) - \left(\log\left|\frac{y}{2}\right| - \frac{x}{x^2+y^2}\right)\right| \le \frac{1}{3x|y|} + \frac{x^2}{2y^2}.$$

- For $|T| \ge 3/2$:

$$|\Re\psi\left((1+2\mathfrak{a})/4+iT/2\right)| \le \left|\log\frac{|T|}{2}\right| + \frac{1}{3} + \frac{4}{27} + \frac{1}{2} \le \log\left(6\left(T+12\right)\right).$$

- We find:

$$\Delta(x,y) \leq (1-\kappa)\log\frac{|y|}{2} + \frac{1}{3|y|}\left(\frac{1}{x} + \kappa\frac{1}{x+\delta}\right) + \frac{1}{2y^2}\left(x^2 + \kappa(x+\delta)^2\right).$$

  and the same bounds for x and y as above give us r_3 and this completes the proof of (7.8).

$\square$

7.3.2. *Study of D_1.*

Lemma 7.11. *Let $Y_0 \geq 1$.*
Case of primitive principal character:

$$D_1(\sigma) \leq \mathfrak{v}_0, \tag{7.10}$$

$$D_1(\sigma + ik\gamma_0) \leq \mathfrak{v}_1(k) \quad \text{if } k \geq 1 \text{ and } 0 \leq \gamma_0 < 1. \tag{7.11}$$

Case of primitive non principal character (for $k \geq 1$):

$$D_1(\sigma, \chi_{(k)}) \leq \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log q_k + \mathfrak{v}_2, \tag{7.12}$$

$$D_1(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)}) \leq \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log(q_k\gamma_0) + \mathfrak{v}_3(k, Y_0), \text{ if } \gamma_0 \geq Y_0 \geq 1, \tag{7.13}$$

$$D_1(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)}) \leq \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log q_k + \mathfrak{v}_4(k), \text{ if } 0 < \gamma_0 < 1, \tag{7.14}$$

with $\mathfrak{v}_0 = -\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\pi + \frac{1}{2}\psi\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - \frac{\kappa}{2}\psi\Big(\frac{\sigma_0+\delta}{2}+1\Big)$,

$\mathfrak{v}_1(k) = -\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\pi + \frac{r_1(\sigma_0+2,3,k)}{2}$,

$\mathfrak{v}_2 = -\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\pi + \frac{1}{2}\psi(1) - \frac{\kappa}{2}\psi\Big(\frac{\sigma_0+\delta}{2}\Big)$,

$\mathfrak{v}_3(k, Y_0) = \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\frac{k}{2\pi} + \frac{\min((r_2, r_3)(\sigma_0, 2, kY_0))}{2}$,

$\mathfrak{v}_4(k) = -\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\pi + \frac{r_1(\sigma_0, 2, k)}{2}$.

Proof. Since ψ is an increasing function of the real variable:

$$D_1(\sigma) \leq -\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\pi + \frac{1}{2}\psi\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) - \frac{\kappa}{2}\psi\Big(\frac{\sigma_0+\delta}{2}+1\Big)$$

$$\text{and } D_1(\sigma, \chi_{(k)}) \leq \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log q_k - \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\pi + \frac{1}{2}\psi(1) - \frac{\kappa}{2}\psi\Big(\frac{\sigma_0+\delta}{2}\Big),$$

and it gives (7.10) and (7.12) respectively.
For $k \geq 1$, we use (7.8) with $x_0 = \sigma_0 + 2$, $x_1 = 3$ and $y_1 = k\gamma_0$:

$$D_1(\sigma + ik\gamma_0) \leq -\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\pi + \frac{1}{2}r_1(\sigma_0+2, 3, k), \quad \text{if } \gamma_0 < 1,$$

and with $x_0 = \sigma_0$, $x_1 = 2$ and $y_1 = k\gamma_0$:

$$D_1(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)}) \leq \begin{cases} \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log(q_k\gamma_0) + \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\frac{k}{2\pi} \\ \quad +\frac{1}{2}\min\left((r_2, r_3)(\sigma_0, 2, kY_0)\right), \text{ if } \gamma_0 \leq Y_0 \geq 1, \\ \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log q_k - \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\pi + \frac{1}{2}r_1(\sigma_0, 2, k), \text{ if } \gamma_0 < 1. \end{cases}$$

And we obtain respectively (7.11), (7.13) and (7.14) □

7.3.3. *Conclusion.*

- *Case I.A.* and *I.B.*: we use (7.10) when $k=0$ and (7.13) otherwise:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{V} &:= a_0 f(0) D_1(\sigma) + f(0)\sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k\left(D_1(\sigma+ik\gamma_0,\chi_{(k)}) + \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\left(\frac{q}{q_k}\right)\right)\\ &\leq \frac{A(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\ \eta\log(q\gamma_0) + \mathfrak{v}(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

  with $\mathfrak{v}(\eta) = \left[a_0\mathfrak{v}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k\mathfrak{v}_3(k,Y_0)\right] h_\theta(0)\eta$, $Y_0=T_0$ in case *I.A* and $Y_0=1$ in case *I.B*.
- *Case I.C.*: we use (7.10) and (7.14):

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{V} &:= f(0)\sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k\left(D_1(\sigma+ik\gamma_0,\chi_{(k)}) + \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\left(\frac{q}{q_k}\right)\right) + a_0 f(0) D_1(\sigma)\\ &\leq \frac{A(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\ \eta\log q + \mathfrak{v}(\eta), \text{with } \mathfrak{v}(\eta) = \left(a_0\mathfrak{v}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{4} a_k\mathfrak{v}_4(k)\right) h_\theta(0)\eta.\end{aligned}$$

- *Case II.A.*: we use (7.10) for $k=0$, (7.11) for $k=4$ and (7.14) for $k=1,2,3$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{V} &:= f(0)\Big[a_0 D_1(\sigma) + a_4 D_1(\sigma+4i\gamma_0) + a_1 D_1(\sigma+i\gamma_0,\chi)\\ &+ a_3 D_1(\sigma+3i\gamma_0,\overline{\chi}) + a_2 D_1(\sigma+2i\gamma_0,\chi_{(2)}) + a_2\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\frac{q}{q_2}\Big]\\ &\leq (a_1+a_2+a_3)\frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\ \eta\log q + \mathfrak{v}(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

  with $\mathfrak{v}(\eta) = (a_0\mathfrak{v}_0 + a_4\mathfrak{v}_1(4) + a_1\mathfrak{v}_4(1) + a_3\mathfrak{v}_4(3) + a_2\mathfrak{v}_4(2))\, h_\theta(0)\eta$.

- *Case II.B.*: we use (7.10) for $k=0$, (7.11) for $k=3$ and (7.14) for $k=1,2,4$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{V} &:= f(0)\Big[a_0 D_1(\sigma) + a_3 D_1(\sigma+3i\gamma_0) + a_1 D_1(\sigma+i\gamma_0,\chi)\\ &+ a_4 D_1(\sigma+4i\gamma_0,\chi) + a_2 D_1(\sigma+2i\gamma_0,\chi_{(2)}) + a_2\frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\frac{q}{q_2}\Big]\\ &\leq (a_1+a_2+a_4)\frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\ \eta\log q + \mathfrak{v}(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

  with $\mathfrak{v}(\eta) = (a_0\mathfrak{v}_0 + a_3\mathfrak{v}_1(3) + a_1\mathfrak{v}_4(1) + a_4\mathfrak{v}_4(4) + a_2\mathfrak{v}_4(2))\, h_\theta(0)\eta$.

- *Case II.C.*: we use (7.10) for $k = 0$, (7.11) for $k = 2, 4$ and (7.14) for $k = 1, 3$:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{V} &:= f(0)\Big[a_0 D_1(\sigma) + a_2 D_1(\sigma + 2i\gamma_0) + a_4 D_1(\sigma + 4i\gamma_0)\\ &+ a_1 D_1(\sigma + i\gamma_0, \chi) + a_3 D_1(\sigma + 3i\gamma_0, \chi)\Big]\\ &\le (a_1 + a_3)\frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\ \eta \log q + \mathfrak{v}(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathfrak{v}(\eta) = (a_0\mathfrak{v}_0 + a_2\mathfrak{v}_1(2) + a_4\mathfrak{v}_1(4) + a_1\mathfrak{v}_4(1) + a_3\mathfrak{v}_4(3))\, h_\theta(0)\eta$.

For *Cases III.* and *IV.*, we use (7.10) for $\chi_{(0)}$ and (7.12) otherwise.

- *Case III.A.*:

$$\mathfrak{V} := f(0)\Big[D_1(\sigma) + D_1(\sigma, \chi) + D_1(\sigma, \overline{\chi}) + D_1(\sigma, \chi_{(2)}) + \frac{1-\kappa}{2}\log\frac{q}{q_2}\Big]$$
$$\le \frac{3(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\ \eta\log q + \mathfrak{v}(\eta),\ \text{with } \mathfrak{v}(\eta) = (\mathfrak{v}_0 + 3\mathfrak{v}_2)\, h_\theta(0)\eta.$$

- *Case III.B.*:

$$\mathfrak{V} := f(0)\Big[D_1(\sigma) + D_1(\sigma, \chi) + D_1(\sigma, \overline{\chi})\Big] \le (1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)\ \eta\log q + \mathfrak{v}(\eta),$$

with $\mathfrak{v}(\eta) = (\mathfrak{v}_0 + 2\mathfrak{v}_2)\, h_\theta(0)\eta$.

- *Case III.C.*and *IV.A.*:

$$\mathfrak{V} := f(0)\Big[D_1(\sigma) + D_1(\sigma, \chi)\Big] \le \frac{(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\ \eta\log q + \mathfrak{v}(\eta),$$

with $\mathfrak{v}(\eta) = (\mathfrak{v}_0 + \mathfrak{v}_2)\, h_\theta(0)\eta$.

- *Case IV.B.*:

$$\mathfrak{V} := f(0)\Big[D_1(\sigma) + D_1(\sigma, \chi_1) + D_1(\sigma, \chi_2) + D_1(\sigma, \chi_1\chi_2)\Big]$$
$$\le (1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)\ \eta\log(q_1 q_2) + \mathfrak{v}(\eta),\ \text{with } \mathfrak{v}(\eta) = (\mathfrak{v}_0 + 3\mathfrak{v}_2)\, h_\theta(0)\eta.$$

7.3.4. *Study of D_2.*

Lemma 7.12. *Let $Y_0 \ge 1$.*
Case of primitive principal character:
Assume $k = 0$ or $k \ge 1$ and $0 \le \gamma_0 < 1$, then:

$$D_2(\sigma + ik\gamma_0) \le \mathfrak{w}_0(\eta) = (\mathfrak{w}_1 + \mathfrak{w}_3)(\eta, \sigma_0) + \kappa\,(\mathfrak{w}_1 + \mathfrak{w}_3)(\eta, \sigma_0 + \delta).$$

Case of primitive non principal character:
Assume $k = 0$ or $k \ge 1$ and $0 \le \gamma_0 < 1$, then: $D_2(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)}) \le \mathfrak{w}_5(\eta)$, otherwise, if $\gamma_0 \ge Y_0 \ge 1$: $D_2(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)}) \le \mathfrak{w}_6(\eta)$,
where $\mathfrak{w}_1(\eta, x) = \eta^3\ \dfrac{m_\theta}{x^3}$, $\mathfrak{w}_2(\eta, x) = \eta^3\ \dfrac{m_\theta}{xY_0^2}$,

$\mathfrak{w}_3(\eta, x) = \eta^2\ \dfrac{p_1 m_\theta}{r\log(q_0)\pi x}\left(\dfrac{1}{x^2} + 1\right)$, $\mathfrak{w}_4(\eta, x) = \eta^2\ \dfrac{p_2 m_\theta}{r\log(q_0)\pi x}\left(\dfrac{1}{x^2} + 1\right)$,

$\mathfrak{w}_5(\eta) = (1/2\mathfrak{w}_1 + \mathfrak{w}_3)(\eta, \sigma_0) + \kappa\,(1/2\mathfrak{w}_1 + \mathfrak{w}_3)(\eta, \sigma_0 + \delta)$,

$\mathfrak{w}_6(\eta) = (1/2\mathfrak{w}_2 + \mathfrak{w}_4)(\eta, \sigma_0) + \kappa\,(1/2\mathfrak{w}_2 + \mathfrak{w}_4)(\eta, \sigma_0 + \delta)$.

Proof. We bound $|H|$ with (2.21) for x equals $\sigma - 1/2$ or $\sigma - 1/2 + \delta$ and x_0 equals $\sigma_0 - 1/2$ or $\sigma_0 - 1/2 + \delta$ respectively:

$$|H(x, k\gamma_0)| \le \frac{m_\theta \eta^3}{x_0(x_0^2 + (k\gamma_0)^2)} \le \begin{cases} \mathfrak{w}_1(\eta, x_0) & \text{if } k = 0 \text{ or } 0 \le \gamma_0 < 1, \\ \mathfrak{w}_2(\eta, x_0) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$|H(x, T - k\gamma_0)| \le \eta^3 \, \frac{1}{x^2 + (T - k\gamma_0)^2} \, \frac{m_\theta}{x}. \tag{7.15}$$

We use (7.15) and (7.7) to bound the integral term:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \Re\psi\left(\frac{2\mathfrak{a}+1}{4} + i\frac{T}{2}\right) \right| \left| H\left(x, T - k\gamma_0\right) \right| dT \\ &\le \eta^3 \, \frac{m_\theta}{2\pi x} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{U(T)}{x^2 + (T - k\gamma_0)^2} dT \le \eta^3 \, \frac{m_\theta}{\pi x} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{U(T)}{x^2 + (T - k\gamma_0)^2} dT. \end{aligned}$$

(we used that U is even and increasing with the positive reals.)
We will show that it is of order $\mathcal{O}(\eta^2)$.
If $0 \le \gamma_0 < 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta^3 \, \frac{m_\theta}{\pi x} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{U(T)}{x^2 + (T - k\gamma_0)^2} dT \le \eta^3 \, \frac{m_\theta}{\pi x} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{U(T+4)}{x^2 + T^2} dT \\ &\le \eta^3 \, \frac{m_\theta}{\pi x} \left(\int_0^1 \frac{U(T+4)}{x^2} dT + \int_1^{+\infty} \frac{U(T+4)}{T^2} dT \right) \\ &\le \eta^2 \, \frac{p_1 m_\theta}{r \log(q_0) \pi x} \left(\frac{1}{x^2} + 1 \right) = \mathfrak{w}_3(\eta, x), \text{ with } p_1 = \textstyle\int_1^{+\infty} \frac{U(T+4)}{T^2} dT \le 4.803. \end{aligned}$$

If $\gamma_0 \ge Y_0 \ge 1$, we bound the integral with

$$\int_0^{k\gamma_0 - 1} \frac{U(T)}{(T - k\gamma_0)^2} dT + \int_{k\gamma_0+1}^{+\infty} \frac{U(T)}{(T - k\gamma_0)^2} dT + \int_{k\gamma_0 - 1}^{k\gamma_0+1} \frac{U(T)}{x^2} dT,$$

we compute each term and find:

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{k\gamma_0 - 1} \frac{U(T)}{(T - k\gamma_0)^2} dT + \int_{k\gamma_0+1}^{+\infty} \frac{U(T)}{(T - k\gamma_0)^2} dT \le 2\log(6(k\gamma_0 + 13)), \\ &\int_{k\gamma_0 - 1}^{k\gamma_0+1} U(T) dT \le 2\log(6(k\gamma_0 + 13)). \end{aligned}$$

Finally:

$$\begin{aligned} &\eta^3 \, \frac{m_\theta}{\pi x} \int_0^{+\infty} \frac{U(T)}{x^2 + (T - k\gamma_0)^2} dT \le \eta^2 \, \frac{m_\theta}{\pi x} \left(1 + \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \frac{\log(6(k\gamma_0 + 13))}{r \log(q\gamma_0)} \\ &\le \eta^2 \, \left(1 + \frac{1}{x^2}\right) \frac{p_2 m_\theta}{r\pi x} = \mathfrak{w}_4(\eta, x), \text{ with } p_2 = 1.292. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

7.3.5. *Conclusion.*

Cases I.A and *I.B*:

$$\mathfrak{W} := \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \left(D_2(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)}) \right) \leq \mathfrak{w}(\eta), \text{ with } \mathfrak{w}(\eta) = a_0\mathfrak{w}_0(\eta) + A\mathfrak{w}_6(\eta).$$

Case I.C:

$$\mathfrak{W} := \sum_{k=0}^{4} a_k \left(D_2(\sigma + ik\gamma_0, \chi_{(k)}) \right) \leq \mathfrak{w}(\eta), \text{ with } \mathfrak{w}(\eta) = a_0\mathfrak{w}_0(\eta) + A\mathfrak{w}_5(\eta).$$

Case II.A:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{W} := {} & a_0 D_2(\sigma) + a_4 D_2(\sigma + 4i\gamma_0) + a_1 D_2(\sigma + i\gamma_0, \chi) + a_3 D_2(\sigma + 3i\gamma_0, \overline{\chi}) \\ & + a_2 D_2(\sigma + 2i\gamma_0, \chi_{(2)}) \leq \mathfrak{w}(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathfrak{w}(\eta) = (a_0 + a_4)\mathfrak{w}_0(\eta) + (a_1 + a_3 + a_2)\mathfrak{w}_5(\eta)$.
Case II.B:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{W} := {} & a_0 D_2(\sigma) + a_3 D_2(\sigma + 3i\gamma_0) + a_1 D_2(\sigma + i\gamma_0, \chi) + a_4 D_2(\sigma + 4i\gamma_0, \chi) \\ & + a_2 D_2(\sigma + 2i\gamma_0, \chi_{(2)}) \leq \mathfrak{w}(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathfrak{w}(\eta) = (a_0 + a_3)\mathfrak{w}_0(\eta) + (a_1 + a_4 + a_2)\mathfrak{w}_5(\eta)$.
Case II.C:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{W} := {} & a_0 D_2(\sigma) + a_2 D_2(\sigma + 2i\gamma_0) + a_4 D_2(\sigma + 4i\gamma_0) + a_1 D_2(\sigma + i\gamma_0, \chi) \\ & + a_3 D_2(\sigma + 3i\gamma_0, \chi) \leq \mathfrak{w}(\eta),\end{aligned}$$

with $\mathfrak{w}(\eta) = (a_0 + a_2 + a_4)\mathfrak{w}_0(\eta) + (a_1 + a_3)\mathfrak{w}_5(\eta)$.
Case III.A:

$$\mathfrak{W} := D_2(\sigma) + D_2(\sigma, \chi) + D_1(\sigma, \overline{\chi}) + D_2(\sigma, \chi_{(2)}) \leq \mathfrak{w}(\eta),$$

with $\mathfrak{w}(\eta) = \mathfrak{w}_0(\eta) + 3\mathfrak{w}_5(\eta)$.
Case III.B:

$$\mathfrak{W} := D_2(\sigma) + D_2(\sigma, \chi) + D_2(\sigma, \overline{\chi}) \leq \mathfrak{w}(\eta), \ \mathfrak{w}(\eta) = \mathfrak{w}_0(\eta) + 2\mathfrak{w}_5(\eta).$$

Cases III.C and *IV.A*:

$$\mathfrak{W} := D_2(\sigma) + D_2(\sigma, \chi) \leq \mathfrak{w}(\eta), \text{ with } \mathfrak{w}(\eta) = \mathfrak{w}_0(\eta) + \mathfrak{w}_5(\eta).$$

Case IV.B:

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathfrak{W} := D_2(\sigma) + D_2(\sigma, \chi_1) + D_2(\sigma, \chi_2) + D_2(\sigma, \chi_1\chi_2) \leq \mathfrak{w}(\eta), \\ & \text{with } \mathfrak{w}(\eta) = \mathfrak{w}_0(\eta) + 3\mathfrak{w}_5(\eta).\end{aligned}$$

8. PROOF OF LEMMAS 3.1, 4.1, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 6.1, 6.2

For $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, each trigonometric sum is: $\Sigma_i = -\mathfrak{S} + \mathfrak{P} + \mathfrak{V} + \mathfrak{W}$. We note $\mathfrak{e}(\eta) = \mathfrak{s}(\eta) + \mathfrak{p}(\eta) + \mathfrak{v}(\eta) + \mathfrak{w}(\eta)$, the error term of Σ_i and gather the results established in sections 7.1.3, 7.2.1, 7.3.3 and 7.3.5.
We now provide in detail the proof of lemma 3.1. However, the arguments for lemmas 4.1, 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 6.1, and 6.2 will be omitted since the argument

is exactly the same except with different numerical values. We have found an upper bound for (3.1)

(8.1)

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_1 &\le -\Big[a_1\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,0)-\mathfrak{s}(\eta)\Big]+\Big[a_0\tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)+\mathfrak{p}(\eta)\Big]\\ &+\left[\frac{A(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\,\eta\log\left(q\max(\gamma_0,1)\right)+\mathfrak{v}(\eta)\right]+\mathfrak{w}(\eta)\\ &=\frac{A(1-\kappa)}{2}h_\theta(0)\eta\log(q\max(\gamma_0,1))+a_0\tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)-a_1\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,0)+\mathfrak{e}(\eta).\end{aligned}$$

$\mathfrak{e}(\eta)$ is a polynomial of degree 3 that we note $\alpha_1\eta+\alpha_2\eta^2+\alpha_3\eta^3$. A classical study insures us of the fact that it is always negative. Let us precise this in few words: when η is close to zero, the behaviour of $\mathfrak{e}(\eta)$ depends on the leading coefficient α_1. This one is determined by the pole $D(s-1)$, by D_1 and also by the sum over the zeros. In particular, this last one is decreasing with t_0. That is to say that if we choose t_0 large enough then we can turn α_1 negative. However, we will take for t_0 the smallest value for which this is satisfied, since the final value of R_0 is increasing with t_0. Moreover, the choice of t_0 does not affect the sign of the two others coefficients α_1 and α_2, who remain positive. In this case, $\mathfrak{e}(\eta)$ possesses three distinct real roots (one is zero and the two others are of opposite signs) and $\mathfrak{e}(\eta)$ is then respectively negative and positive in $[0,+\infty[$. That is to say that, if $\mathfrak{e}(\eta)$ is negative at $\eta=\eta_0$, then it is also the case at any η in $[0,\eta_0]$.

Together with the trigonometrical inequality (2.10), that is to say $\Sigma_1\ge 0$, (8.1) becomes:

$$\frac{A(1-\kappa)h_\theta(0)}{2}\log\left(q\max(\gamma_0,1)\right)\eta+a_0\tilde{F}(\sigma-1,0)-a_1\tilde{F}(\sigma-\beta_0,0)\ge 0,$$

which implies the formula (3.2) for R_0.

We first fix the value of θ with a precision of 10^{-3} so that the final value R_0 will be the smallest as possible for the parameters κ, δ, t_0 and r satisfying:

- $\kappa\ge\kappa_q$ and $\delta\le\delta_q$, where κ_q and δ_q are defined at proposition 7.4,
- t_0 is the smallest possible value so that $\mathfrak{e}(\eta_0)$ is negative,
- r is the largest value in $[5,R]$ such that the final R_0 satisfies $r<R_0\le r+10^{-3}$.

For example, in the situation I.A, we choose $\theta=1.8552$, $t_0=10$, $r=6.035$. Then, for $\kappa\ge 0.4354$ and $\delta\le 0.6220$, $\mathfrak{e}(\eta)$ is negative, as predicted, since $\mathfrak{e}(\eta_0)\le -1.34\le 0$ and we obtain $R_0\le 6.0352$.

Then, we repeat this process until we find the same value for R_0, with a precision of 10^{-3}. The values obtained during this algorithm are listed in Table 1.

We list below the numerical data obtained in each case by our algorithm:

Table 1.

Step	θ	t_0	R	r	κ	δ	η_0	$\mathfrak{e}(\eta_0)$	ω_0	R_0
Case I.A										
1	1.8552	10	9.6460	6.035	0.4353	0.6220	0.0167	-1.34	0.548	6.0352
2	1.8501	34	6.0352	5.860	0.4295	0.6238	0.0172	-0.02	0.851	5.8609
3	1.8498	36	5.8609	5.847	0.42921	0.6240	0.0172	-0.90	0.875	5.8476
4	1.8497	36	5.8476	5.846	0.4290	0.6240	0.0172	-0.86	0.876	5.8465
Case I.B										
1	1.8632	52	9.6460	6.295	0.4259	0.6255	0.0335	-0.06	0.352	6.2952
2	1.8593	162	6.2952	6.245	0.4212	0.6270	0.0338	-0.04	0.477	6.2457
3	1.8593	163	6.2457	6.244	0.4211	0.6271	0.0338	-0.08	0.480	6.2443
Case I.C										
1	1.8636	38	9.6460	6.290	0.4255	0.6259	0.0335	-0.20	0.364	6.2908
2	1.8607	120	6.2908	6.240	0.4207	0.6273	0.0338	-0.01	0.491	6.2402

Table 2.

α	θ	t_0	R	r	κ	δ	η_0	$\mathfrak{e}(\eta_0)$	ω_0	R_0
Case II.A										
2.6614	1.8935	21	9.6460	6.396	0.4269	0.6250	0.0330	-0.05	0.394	6.3970
Case II.B										
4.2743	1.8720	32	9.6460	6.298	0.4259	0.6255	0.033	-1.30	0.371	6.2995
Case II.C										
6.9081	1.8640	31	9.6460	6.287	0.4253	0.6257	0.033	-0.69	0.372	6.2880

Table 3.

α	θ	t_0	R	r	κ	δ	η_0	$\mathfrak{e}(\eta_0)$	ω_0	R_0
Case III.A										
2.6614	1.750	150	9.6460	6.392	0.4094	0.6322	0.033	-0.001	0.321	6.3931
Case III.B										
4.2743	1.700	89	9.6460	6.297	0.3816	0.6428	0.033	-0.16	0.333	6.2981
Case III.C										
6.9081	1.661	659	9.6460	5.366	0.3004	0.6714	0.039	-0.013	0.234	5.3661

Table 4.

Step	θ	t_0	R	r	κ	δ	η_0	$\mathfrak{e}(\eta_0)$	ω_0	R_0
Case IV.A										
1	1.9476	42	9.6460	1.119	0.4178	0.6293	0.073	-0.063	0.088	1.1200
2	1.9270	94	1.1200	1.097	0.3673	0.6457	0.074	-0.003	0.712	1.0977
3	1.9263	100	1.0977	1.097	0.3663	0.6461	0.074	-0.006	0.723	1.0971
Case IV.B										
1	1.9359	27	9.6460	2.146	0.4335	0.6230	0.038	-0.112	0.173	2.1466
2	1.9208	60	2.1466	2.045	0.4100	0.6305	0.040	-0.028	0.710	2.0452

References

[1] M. Bennett, *Rational approximation to algebraic numbers of small heights: the diophantine equation* $ax^n - by^n = 1$ *J. Reine Angew. Math. 535*, (2001), 1–49.

[2] K. S. Chua, *Real zeros of Dedekind zeta functions of real quadratic fields Math. Comp. 74*, (2005), 1457–1470.

[3] H. DAVENPORT, *Multiplicative Number Theory, Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, third edition, (2000).

[4] D.R. HEATH-BROWN, *Zero-free regions for Dirichlet L-functions, and the least prime in an arithmetic progression*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* , **64**, (1992), 265–338.

[5] H. KADIRI, *Une région explicite sans zéro pour la fonction zeta de Riemann*, *Acta Arithmetica*, 117.4 (2005), 303–339.

[6] K.S. MCCURLEY, *Explicit zero-free regions for Dirichlet L-functions*, *J. Number Theory*, **19**, (1984), 7–32.

[7] K.S. MCCURLEY, *Explicit estimates for the error term in the prime number theorem for arithmetic progressions*, *Mathematics of Computation*, **42**, (1984), no. 165, 265–285.

[8] O. RAMARÉ & R. RUMELY, *Primes in arithmetic progressions. Math. Comp.*, **65**, (1996), 397–425.

[9] J.B. ROSSER & L. SCHOENFELD, *Sharper bounds for the chebyshev functions* $\vartheta(x)$ *and* $\psi(x)$. *Math. Comp.*, **29**(129), (1975), 243–269.

[10] M. RUBINSTEIN, *http ://www.ma.utexas.edu/users/miker/L_function/ZEROS.*

[11] S.B. STECHKIN, *The zeros of the Riemann zeta-function*, *Mat. Zametki*, 8, 1970, pp 419–429 (Russian); English translation in *Math. Notes*, 8, 1970, pp 706–711.

[12] M. WATKINS, *Real zeros of real odd Dirichlet L-functions Math. Comp. 73*, (2004), 415–423.

[13] A. WEIL, *Sur les "formules explicites" de la théorie des nombres premiers. Oeuvres Complètes*, **2**, (1952), 48–61.

Habiba Kadiri
Département de Mathématiques et Statistique
Université de Montréal
CP 6128 succ Centre-Ville
Montréal, QC
H3C 3J7
Canada
e-mail: kadiri@dms.umontreal.ca